


## Q1 Do you agree with the Plan's Vision? (page 3)

Answered: 84 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	79.76% 67
No	20.24% 17
<b>Total</b>	<b>84</b>


#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	Part of the last statement "by being self-sufficient in energy production" is unacceptable. The implications of such a statement are at this time unknown, a full investigation and review is needed. If such an investigation and review cannot be undertaken prior to the issue of the final draft document this part of the vision statement should be deleted.	3/28/2015 4:53 PM
2	I do not believe that the majority of Oxspring residents would want a new school or multi sports facilities built on the current sports fields. Oxspring is a small rural village and thats how we want it to remain.	3/28/2015 4:02 PM
3	Oxspring has already undergone too much development in recent years and is losing its identity as a rural village. The proposed sports facilities are not of a suitable scale for a rural village. Penistone has just recently got new facilities at the new grammar school.	3/28/2015 3:20 PM
4	The vision statement is well meaning in its written text however the collective visual representations of the same (P.12,13 & 14) are nothing more than unrealistic, undeliverable and unsustainable folly.	3/28/2015 12:55 PM
5	I have considered the extremely vague vision on pg 3 in conjunction with the whole of the consultation draft . I also attended the consultation day on 29.1.15 and I was unable to get any answers and the draft indicates many issues that I believe are to the detriment of Oxspring.	3/28/2015 12:06 PM
6	In theory! But lots of it is far too vague for me to answer properly. I need to know exactly what each section means what it will exactly include and exactly how it will all work. Too general to give specific view.	3/26/2015 6:32 PM
7	There are already sports facilities in the local area such as the football pitches at Penistone Grammar School and cricket club at Springvale. How can natural assets be protected by buildings on fields?	3/26/2015 4:26 PM
8	Vision is positive but is open to criticism that it is too ambitious. There are no costings (perhaps understandably) Is there any chance that someone could be employed to give some estimates, with ideas as to where the money could come from? Otherwise vision lacks credibility for some people.	3/25/2015 8:17 PM
9	I agree with the plans vision overall who wouldn't with the areas intending to be focussed upon, what i struggle with is how ONHPG are going to deliver upon it without venturing in to the greenbelt aspects of the village.	3/25/2015 7:39 PM

## Oxspring NDP Survey - Volunteers

10	We are in danger of turning Oxspring in to another bland suburban area unless changes are made to prioritise environmental biodiversity and heritage. Also where will money come from?	3/24/2015 9:32 PM
11	Regarding business we have a post office and general convenience store, with more business we will lose status as a village and become more like a town. Penistone is only 1.5miles away with everything we require	3/21/2015 12:02 PM
12	Although I agree with the statement of the plans vision I do not agree that the NP is a reflection of what the older and younger people want. I believe that older and younger people would like to keep Oxspring as it is.	3/20/2015 6:06 PM
13	Oxspring is a village and needs to stay a village. The plans vision is too great for a village	3/20/2015 4:36 PM
14	Oxspring does not need to expand its business community. It is not an industrial area	3/20/2015 4:22 PM
15	How will the ONPG be able to fund and deliver this vision	3/20/2015 4:11 PM
16	Exactly the plans vision this has been contained within a small secretive number of residents from Toll Bar Close mainly.	3/20/2015 3:46 PM
17	i AGREE THAT THE VILLAGE NEEDS PROTECTION AGAINST DEVELOPMENT WHICH IS NOT REQUIRED OR FITTING WITH THE RURAL CHARACTER.	3/15/2015 8:52 PM
18	I will very much be an older citizen in this time period and am glad that thought is to be given to all age groups	3/15/2015 3:58 PM
19	I do not agree as it is a plan of a select few.	3/15/2015 3:19 PM
20	Too ambitious plans and unrealistic. Unsustainable financially. Sustainable on a small scale?	3/14/2015 5:14 PM
21	Managing the village over next 20 years is very important	3/11/2015 2:59 PM
22	Spoiling the village. Creating a major traffic problem	3/11/2015 2:46 PM
23	Plan is fantastic ignore negative comments.	3/11/2015 2:38 PM
24	Some aspects very poorly thought out,	3/11/2015 11:51 AM
25	Nearly yes. Need new school,	3/11/2015 11:11 AM
26	I don't think we need a bandstand or a market. I like the idea of riverside walks. My main concerns are internet and digital radio. Also trains are poor. Moo	3/11/2015 11:02 AM

**Q2 (1 of 3) On the Plan's 3 Ambitions, do you agree with the inclusion of 'Community Wellbeing'? (page 4)**

Answered: 84 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	67.86% 57
No	32.14% 27
<b>Total</b>	<b>84</b>


#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	See later comments regarding new school and existing sports field amenities.	3/28/2015 4:53 PM
2	The school is currently well placed and has only recently been expanded. The sports field is currently used by various organisations and local children and wide range of facilities available at PGS a stones throw away.	3/28/2015 4:02 PM
3	The school only recently was expanded and improved. It is a village school!	3/28/2015 3:20 PM
4	Yes in principle to all three as overriding header statements but similarly to many other sections of the ONPG Consultation Draft the bulleted points beneath each heading skew the good intent. i.e. Housing excluding newcomers to the village, suggestions of a new school when existing is fine and the rather abhorrent potential for the eco housing.	3/28/2015 12:55 PM
5	I simply can't ignore the draft when considering the ambitions. Oxspring is primarily a residential area in rural surroundings. The existing school should be preserved and should not be enlarged as to do so would promote unreasonable growth in the size of the village.	3/28/2015 12:06 PM
6	Very general on page 4 so need clarification of exactly what each will mean. Happy for school to be moved as suggested and sport facilities to be included in school, but wouldn't want a leisure centre as a commercial prospect just for use by local residents.	3/26/2015 6:32 PM
7	Don't agree with new school or community recreation hub in ambition 1.	3/26/2015 6:04 PM
8	Don't agree with the new school or community recreation hub in ambition 1.	3/26/2015 5:03 PM
9	The school has only recently undergone development to expand it.	3/26/2015 4:26 PM
10	There is no mention of existing facilities i.e. St Aidans, Shop and Post Office, Playground. How do they fit in to Neighbourhood Plan	3/25/2015 8:17 PM
11	Intermediate housing unlikely to meet goals. Removes school from village centre - becomes another long linear village with no centre.	3/25/2015 8:02 PM

## Oxspring NDP Survey - Volunteers

12	Yes but not the finer bullet points slipped in under cover at the main headings i.e. we don't need a new school, we do need to offer opportunities for newcomers outside the village, the same as Parish at ONHPG members have had.	3/25/2015 7:39 PM
13	Do not agree that we need a new school. I think changing rooms will suffice as a development on the football fields.	3/25/2015 7:13 PM
14	Do not agree that we need a new school and I think changing rooms will suffice as a development on the football fields.	3/25/2015 7:09 PM
15	Not sure about the school. The village as we know it will die if the school is moved.	3/24/2015 9:32 PM
16	A school on the playing field is not what we need surely there are other areas just as suitable. We are 1.5 miles away from excellent school with wonderful amenities for all. Why would Oxspring benefit from more? when my wife and I came to Oxspring 22yrs ago we were told by Mr Wood bred and born in Oxspring that the playing was a gift to the villagers.	3/21/2015 12:02 PM
17	An idea to diversify and grow the rural economy might be to encourage craft workers to develop their skills in the village.	3/20/2015 4:43 PM
18	Oxspring is a village and needs to stay a village. The plans vision is too great for a village	3/20/2015 4:36 PM
19	Oxspring does not need to expand its business community. It is not an industrial area	3/20/2015 4:22 PM
20	Agree with ambitions but unhappy with suggestions under each heading that the village community doesn't need.	3/20/2015 4:11 PM
21	Oxspring is not in need of this	3/20/2015 3:46 PM
22	I agree with some aspects but worry that a new big school would encourage more residential housing although it would be good to see more facilities available to children.	3/15/2015 8:52 PM
23	I don't feel these are needed in a small rural village like Oxspring	3/15/2015 3:19 PM
24	Was any consideration given to the provision of health facilities.	3/14/2015 5:42 PM
25	All good ideas - where is money coming from?	3/14/2015 12:34 PM
26	Not sure about demolition of school to build houses on the land. New school is a good idea but we would like to save the old school for possible other uses i.e. Community Hall, Offices etc	3/14/2015 12:17 PM
27	I do not agree with the proposed site for a new school	3/11/2015 2:59 PM
28	Not if like the artists drawing	3/11/2015 2:46 PM

**Q3 (2 of 3) On the Plan's 3 Ambitions, do you agree with the inclusion of 'Nurturing Local Businesses'? (page 4)**

Answered: 79 Skipped: 8



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	73.42% 58
No	26.58% 21
<b>Total</b>	<b>79</b>


#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	Page 7 refers to the delivery of locally generated green energy. The implications of such a statement are at this time unknown, a full investigation and review is needed. If such an investigation and review cannot be undertaken prior to the issue of the final draft document this statement should be deleted.	3/28/2015 4:53 PM
2	The village does not require much business development apart from a Coffee/Tea/Cafe Business. Business opportunities can be found not too far outside the village - Penistone, Barnsley, Sheffield etc.	3/28/2015 3:20 PM
3	Yes in principle to all three as overriding header statements but similarly to many other sections of the ONPG Consultation Draft the bulleted points beneath each heading skew the good intent. i.e. Housing excluding newcomers to the village, suggestions of a new school when existing is fine and the rather abhorrent potential for the eco housing.	3/28/2015 12:55 PM
4	I simply can't ignore the draft when considering the ambitions. Oxspring is primarily a residential area in rural surroundings. Depending on the amount and type of business the village would lose its identity	3/28/2015 12:06 PM
5	Don't fully understand energy hub need proper explanation of what will involve plus what businesses you are hoping to attract.	3/26/2015 6:32 PM
6	The village does not require extra businesses.	3/26/2015 4:26 PM
7	Green energy hub is poorly defined.	3/25/2015 8:02 PM
8	Yes but not the finer bullet points slipped in under cover at the main headings i.e. we don't need a new school, we do need to offer opportunities for newcomers outside the village, the same as Parish at ONHPG members have had.	3/25/2015 7:39 PM
9	An idea to diversify and grow the rural economy might be to encourage craft workers to develop their skills in the village.	3/20/2015 4:43 PM
10	Oxspring is a village and needs to stay a village. The plans vision is too great for a village	3/20/2015 4:36 PM

## Oxspring NDP Survey - Volunteers

11	Agree with ambitions but unhappy with suggestions under each heading that the village community doesn't need.	3/20/2015 4:11 PM
12	Oxspring is not in need of this	3/20/2015 3:46 PM
13	I don't feel these are needed in a small rural village like Oxspring	3/15/2015 3:19 PM
14	Was any consideration given to the provision of local shops	3/14/2015 5:42 PM
15	All good ideas - where is money coming from?	3/14/2015 12:34 PM
16	How and where would such proposals be accessed? Bear in mind that the road from Oxspring to Penistone has a high volume of traffic and is quite narrow in places,	3/11/2015 12:04 PM

**Q4 (3 of 3) On the Plan's 3 Ambitions, do you agree with the inclusion of 'Nurturing the Rural Environment'? (page 4)**

Answered: 77 Skipped: 10



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	77.92% 60
No	22.08% 17
<b>Total</b>	<b>77</b>


#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	The section preparing for climate change etc does not require the additional statement flood and energy resilience there are potentially other issues also	3/28/2015 4:53 PM
2	Any development of the sports field and nearby areas could damage not nurture the environment and wildlife.	3/28/2015 4:02 PM
3	The natural beauty of the village would be spoiled by man made efforts.	3/28/2015 3:20 PM
4	Yes in principle to all three as overriding header statements but similarly to many other sections of the ONPG Consultation Draft the bulleted points beneath each heading skew the good intent. i.e. Housing excluding newcomers to the village, suggestions of a new school when existing is fine and the rather abhorrent potential for the eco housing.	3/28/2015 12:55 PM
5	Want to know more about nurturing the rural environment.	3/28/2015 12:06 PM
6	Building on greenbelt land does not protect or enhance natural resources	3/26/2015 4:26 PM
7	Yes but not the finer bullet points slipped in under cover at the main headings i.e. we don't need a new school, we do need to offer opportunities for newcomers outside the village, the same as Parish at ONHPG members have had.	3/25/2015 7:39 PM
8	An idea to diversify and grow the rural economy might be to encourage craft workers to develop their skills in the village.	3/20/2015 4:43 PM
9	Oxspring is a village and needs to stay a village. The plans vision is too great for a village	3/20/2015 4:36 PM
10	Oxspring does not need to expand its business community. It is not an industrial area	3/20/2015 4:22 PM
11	Agree with ambitions but unhappy with suggestions under each heading that the village community doesn't need.	3/20/2015 4:11 PM
12	Oxspring is not in need of this	3/20/2015 3:46 PM
13	I don't feel these are needed in a small rural village like Oxspring	3/15/2015 3:19 PM

## Oxspring NDP Survey - Volunteers

14	All good ideas - where is money coming from?	3/14/2015 12:34 PM
15	I do not agree with the new school or leisure facilities See comments at Q4 and Q5.	3/11/2015 3:24 PM
16	The development of offices in green belt land is bad for the village. There is a large brownfield site in Springvale.	3/11/2015 3:16 PM
17	Well put together	3/11/2015 12:43 PM
18	Not much for seniors in any of the plans!	3/11/2015 12:21 PM

### Q5 (1 of 2) Do you agree with the first Policy on Housing - CW/H1? (page 5)

Answered: 81 Skipped: 6



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	81.48% 66
No	18.52% 15
<b>Total</b>	<b>81</b>


#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	The local plan of 25% affordable homes in developments of 15 or more dwellings seems quite adequate. This proposal is too extreme.	3/28/2015 5:03 PM
2	Oxpring has been greatly expanded in the last 20yrs and not with affordable housing. Oxspring needs a small, controlled development of affordable housing only to retain young residents but not in greenbelt land that is currently home to a wide range of wildlife and protected species of birds	3/28/2015 4:12 PM
3	Oxspring has had too much development in the last 25yrs, and none of it has been affordable housing. Any development should be affordable and prioritised housing for local 1st time buyers. Wildlife would be affected by any building on sports field and fields adjacent. Wildlife which as a dog walker I see and appreciate daily.	3/28/2015 3:27 PM
4	No because the housing style/type that is being proposed (p.14) are utterly appalling in appearance and their existence suggests that the current school building is sacrificed to make way for them. Furthermore, the proposed houses don't lend themselves to the low cost / affordable housing designate due to the construction costs etc that would apply.	3/28/2015 1:04 PM
5	There has been no loss of affordable housing in the village therefore no requirement for any additional affordable housing. What exactly does it mean? The village is fortunate to have a long history of good values and extremely low crime rate, nothing should be done to jeopardise that. Would need to know exactly what the windfall sites are before agreeing.	3/28/2015 12:15 PM
6	Agree as long as it is not social housing and houses are in keeping with the look of the village and provided they will be offered to the local people.	3/26/2015 6:36 PM
7	What is unallocated land, shops what shops? Pg5 2nd Para, Nothing in NP about them. Three times the price, really?	3/25/2015 8:20 PM
8	Unlikely to meet aims - private housing will be bought / sold according to the market. Majority of buyers in Oxspring are families moving in to village.	3/25/2015 8:05 PM
9	Yes but for people outside the community to take the opportunities also. But certainly not the ridiculous style / type shown as option c on pg14, any housing development must be in-fitting with the setting.	3/25/2015 7:45 PM

## Oxspring NDP Survey - Volunteers

10	New housing would be better served by extending the existing boundaries of Toll Bar Close. The proposed site is boggy.	3/24/2015 9:35 PM
11	Although housing should be made affordable - we feel its important for the accommodation to be in keeping with the area and not just built in the cheapest way possible to keep prices down.	3/21/2015 12:18 PM
12	I am not against some houses providing they are no on green land	3/21/2015 12:04 PM
13	Any housing development should be appropriate to fit in with the present village however in design	3/20/2015 4:45 PM
14	If they are built to be affordable for the next generation	3/20/2015 4:25 PM
15	But not the housing style or type shown as Option C pg14	3/20/2015 4:13 PM
16	Oxspring is a village this it should remain. There has been more than enough development in surrounding areas e.g. Spring Vale	3/20/2015 3:53 PM
17	In the Penistone area as a whole I think it is very important to address the lack of affordable housing for local young people. It is very hard to get the first and second rung on the ladder when prices are so inflated for this area. Oxspring is an example of this and therefore needs to address it.	3/15/2015 9:13 PM
18	I absolutely support the vision of prioritising on affordable housing to keep local people within the village. We have enough top end market houses	3/15/2015 4:02 PM
19	No more housing is required to keep the village rural.	3/15/2015 3:21 PM
20	Suggest housing developments on a larger scale to finance other projects (developers) etc	3/14/2015 5:25 PM
21	I disagree with the safeguarded land which I understand is allocated for housing, although not immediately. Housing on this on green belt land will radically change the character of the village in a negative way.	3/14/2015 4:19 PM
22	Smaller homes tend to become to let and owned by landlords	3/11/2015 3:03 PM
23	What is affordable housing, depends who you are	3/11/2015 2:48 PM
24	No need for any executive homes,	3/11/2015 2:40 PM
25	What about bungalows for older people?	3/11/2015 12:23 PM
26	To target the gap between social housing and something else is a bit NIMBY. Social can be affordable for young and old. By	3/11/2015 11:13 AM
27	Only private not council	3/11/2015 11:03 AM
28	I agree but not sure if it would be adopted as housing provision would be dependent on the new school	3/11/2015 10:57 AM

### Q6 (2 of 2) Do you agree with the second Policy on Housing - CW/H2? (page 5)

Answered: 81 Skipped: 6



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	82.72% 67
No	17.28% 14
<b>Total</b>	<b>81</b>


#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	The final part of this proposal after "fauna and flora" is too extreme, are we using this document to satisfy the wishes of parishioners or particular members of the steering committee?	3/28/2015 5:03 PM
2	Oxspring has been greatly expanded in the last 20yrs and not with affordable housing. Oxspring needs a small, controlled development of affordable housing only to retain young residents but not in greenbelt land that is currently home to a wide range of wildlife and protected species of birds	3/28/2015 4:12 PM
3	Oxspring has had too much development in the last 25yrs, and none of it has been affordable housing. Any development should be affordable and prioritised housing for local 1st time buyers. Wildlife would be affected by any building on sports field and fields adjacent. Wildlife which as a dog walker I see and appreciate daily.	3/28/2015 3:27 PM
4	No because the housing style/type that is being proposed (p.14) are utterly appalling in appearance and their existence suggests that the current school building is sacrificed to make way for them. Furthermore, the proposed houses don't lend themselves to the low cost / affordable housing designate due to the construction costs etc that would apply.	3/28/2015 1:04 PM
5	There has been no loss of affordable housing in the village therefore no requirement for any additional affordable housing. What exactly does it mean? The village is fortunate to have a long history of good values and extremely low crime rate, nothing should be done to jeopardise that. Would need to know exactly what the windfall sites are before agreeing.	3/28/2015 12:15 PM
6	Depends on what definition of windfall is would be happy for old school site to be used.	3/26/2015 6:36 PM
7	There is already too much housing for Oxspring but any housing that has to built should be affordable. Building on greenbelt land in any form is harming the fauna and flora. Sky Larks and yellowhammers (both red listed RSPB species) are in the field proposed to build sports facilities on.	3/26/2015 4:35 PM
8	Windfall site - is the loss of the school in the village centre.	3/25/2015 8:05 PM
9	Yes but for people outside the community to take the opportunities also. But certainly not the ridiculous style / type shown as option c on pg14, any housing development must be in-fitting with the setting.	3/25/2015 7:45 PM

## Oxspring NDP Survey - Volunteers

10	New housing would be better served by extending the existing boundaries of Toll Bar Close. The proposed site is boggy.	3/24/2015 9:35 PM
11	I am not against some houses providing they are no on green land	3/21/2015 12:04 PM
12	Any housing development should be appropriate to fit in with the present village however in design	3/20/2015 4:45 PM
13	If they are built to be affordable for the next generation	3/20/2015 4:25 PM
14	But not the housing style or type shown as Option C pg14	3/20/2015 4:13 PM
15	Oxspring is a village this it should remain. There has been more than enough development in surrounding areas e.g. Spring Vale	3/20/2015 3:53 PM
16	In the Penistone area as a whole I think it is very important to address the lack of affordable housing for local young people. It is very hard to get the first and second rung on the ladder when prices are so inflated for this area. Oxspring is an example of this and therefore needs to address it.	3/15/2015 9:13 PM
17	No more housing is required to keep the village rural.	3/15/2015 3:21 PM
18	I disagree with the safeguarded land which I understand is allocated for housing, although not immediately. Housing on this on green belt land will radically change the character of the village in a negative way.	3/14/2015 4:19 PM
19	More houses less of a village feel	3/11/2015 12:14 PM

### Q7 Do you agree with the Policy on A New School at the Heart of the Community - CW/E1? (page 6)

Answered: 86 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	67.44% 58
No	32.56% 28
<b>Total</b>	<b>86</b>


#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	I would firstly like to see the statistics which suggest that such a new school is a necessity different opinions exist as to whether the school is at full capacity. What seems to be happening when sports facilities and schools come together is that they often result in them both being surrounded by high fences making the amenities accessible to a minority of people. As regards the specific proposals my main concerns are as follows 1. Such a development would probably result in not a village school but in a local area school serving not only Oxspring but much of surrounding area. The possible implications of such a development need careful consideration. 2. The sports field at present is much more than just that to local people, it acts as a park and recreational facility and has open access free of charge. I would welcome some development and sensible increased use of the area.	3/28/2015 5:03 PM
2	Oxspring currently has a lovely old school in the heart of the community that has only recently been expanded. Its pupils have always used the current sports fields for football and school sports days.	3/28/2015 4:12 PM
3	The school is already at the heart of the village and is a nice typical village school.	3/28/2015 3:27 PM
4	Absolutely not yet another hideous suggestion given the existing facility has an excellent track record for success, is ideally located within the centre of the village, requires moderate cost to update/improve and is harmonious with the general village feel and street scene.	3/28/2015 1:04 PM
5	The wider Penistone area is of no concern to Oxspring and it does not need a laeger school that would inevitably provide evidence to the council to build ever increasing numbers of houses in the village, destroying its village intimacy. The current info includes the replacing of a track with a road. This can only mean one thing - paving the way for excessive over development	3/28/2015 12:15 PM
6	Although the school in current location is not ideal, in recent years there has been huge investment in the buildings which would largely be wasted if the school was to relocate. As most new housing is planned for Penistone rather than Oxspring, providing extra places for non-local children just increases unnecessary car journeys in conflict with NRE/CC. Feel that this cannot be part of neighbourhood plan without the full support of the schools governing body, and as I understand this has not yet been given.	3/26/2015 6:09 PM

## Oxspring NDP Survey - Volunteers

7	Although the schools current location is not ideal, in recent year there has been huge investment in the buidings which would largely be wasted if the school was to relocate. As most new housing is planned for Penistone rather than Oxspring - providing extra places for non-local children just increases unnecessary car journeys in conflict with policy NRE/CC. Feel that this can not be part of a neighbourhood plan witout the full support of the schools governing body and as I understand this has not yet been given.	3/26/2015 5:10 PM
8	The school building is old and a prominent feature of the village. The new school in Springvale is an eyesore and not in character with area. The same can be said of PGS ALC. The proposed site for new school is not appropriate and sports facilities are completely unnecessary for a village school.	3/26/2015 4:35 PM
9	Are there other ideas for expanding school capacity for instance at ST Johns?	3/25/2015 8:20 PM
10	It removes school from centre of village to eastern end. Loss of the old school building.	3/25/2015 8:05 PM
11	Absolutely not there is nothing wrong with the existing school and the location at the one being proposed along with the other ludicrous suggestions is totally unacceptable.	3/25/2015 7:45 PM
12	We enjoy the old school atmosphere and tradition. I think a new school will be dangerous because it will provide justification for development. Who will fund it will BMBC drop funds for current school.	3/25/2015 7:17 PM
13	It wouldn't be in heart of the community	3/24/2015 9:35 PM
14	This is desperately needed with a young family ourselves we feel the school facilities falls short of many others in the immediate areas and the provision for more outdoor space for the children is essential.	3/21/2015 12:18 PM
15	No school on proposed land. Only the people of Mayfield will suffer from this.	3/21/2015 12:04 PM
16	As a village school the present school is sufficient for the people of the village. A new school taking people from the wider penistone area would not foster a sense of the village school.	3/20/2015 6:09 PM
17	Why does Oxspring have to have a bigger school to help school places across the wider Penistone area. We are a village and do not have infrastructure to sustain one.	3/20/2015 4:38 PM
18	The area and infrastructure can't sustain a new, larger school	3/20/2015 4:25 PM
19	Absolutely not	3/20/2015 4:13 PM
20	Absolutely not this actually disgusts me. Oxspring school has stood its ground for 135yrs it is a historic building at the heart of the village. Loved by adults and most importantly children.	3/20/2015 3:53 PM
21	Unsure, new sports / other facilities which a new school would bring would be great but I have concerns where the funding would come from and would we be opening the gates to encourage more houses to fill school places.	3/15/2015 8:56 PM
22	Pleased to see this. My daughter went to school here in 1980/90s and it was ready to be replaced then.	3/15/2015 4:02 PM
23	Village doesn't need a new school the one we have is the heart of the village	3/15/2015 3:21 PM
24	Please ensure safe parking and no parking around the new school and vicinity	3/14/2015 5:56 PM
25	No provision for parking on a basically narrow road with no footpaths.	3/14/2015 12:50 PM
26	Keep local schools for local children	3/14/2015 12:35 PM
27	The proposed site is not in the heart but the perimeter and would encourage more use of cars by parents due to poor access	3/11/2015 3:28 PM
28	I agree in principle but not knocking the old school down, just converted to flats.	3/11/2015 3:20 PM
29	The current school is at the heart of the community. I attach a letter explaining my reasons for this.	3/11/2015 3:03 PM
30	Create major traffic issues	3/11/2015 2:48 PM
31	If required	3/11/2015 2:40 PM
32	I feel that the existing school is in the heart of the community	3/11/2015 12:34 PM
33	2 schools makes less of a village and more pupils to Penistone Grammar so they need to expand!	3/11/2015 12:14 PM
34	Would like to know the number of pupils.	3/11/2015 12:05 PM
35	But in the wrong place, not enough space	3/11/2015 11:51 AM
36	Massive agreement.	3/11/2015 11:03 AM

### Q8 Do you agree with the Policy on Sport & Leisure Community Infrastructure - CW/SRCL 1? (page 6)

Answered: 86 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	69.77% 60
No	30.23% 26
<b>Total</b>	<b>86</b>


#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	I would firstly like to see the statistics which suggest that such a new school is a necessity different opinions exist as to whether the school is at full capacity. What seems to be happening when sports facilities and schools come together is that they often result in them both being surrounded by high fences making the amenities accessible to a minority of people. As regards the specific proposals my main concerns are as follows 1. Such a development would probably result in not a village school but in a local area school serving not only Oxspring but much of surrounding area. The possible implications of such a development need careful consideration. 2. The sports field at present is much more than just that to local people, it acts as a park and recreational facility and has open access free of charge. I would welcome some development and sensible increased use of the area.	3/28/2015 5:03 PM
2	Oxspring is a small village and does not require a multi sports facility. There is a wide range of gym, sports facilities etc a stones throw away at PGS WHICH ARE BRAND NEW. There is a cricket ground at Springvale and bowling green at Penistone. Which other local primary school has these kind of facilities? None because they aren't required for a primary school. The current sports field is more than adequate.	3/28/2015 4:12 PM
3	Oxspring is a village the proposed sports facilities are of a scale which is completely against what would be typical of a village. There is an abundance of varied sports facilities in many directions and within minutes of Oxspring.	3/28/2015 3:27 PM
4	There is a facility already available but is woefully under used by villagers, school and community alike. If anything all that is required is minor investment in order to improve the facility's functionality and bring it up to the standards required to encourage frequent and future use.	3/28/2015 1:04 PM
5	The village needs only improved changing rooms for existing facilities nothing else if residents wanted a sports centre on the doorstep they would not have moved to Oxspring, also any increase would put pressure on existing roads.	3/28/2015 12:15 PM
6	I agree with all bullet points except leisure centre to attract visitors. Do not want tourism. Happy for small school integrated sports facilities with use for local residents only. Already proved not viable in surrounding areas.	3/26/2015 6:36 PM

## Oxspring NDP Survey - Volunteers

7	Think plans for multi-sport playing fields etc are unfeasibly grand and would require too much money for ongoing maintenance and upkeep. See comment 13	3/26/2015 6:09 PM
8	Think the plans for multi-sport playing fields etc are infeasibly grand and would require too much money for ongoing maintenance and upkeep.	3/26/2015 5:10 PM
9	A village school does not need such excessive sports facilities. PGS already offers a top range of sporting activities and there is a cricket club in Springvale that has a long history and could potentially suffer from cricket facilities in Oxspring.	3/26/2015 4:35 PM
10	A few details about the management of this policy would be useful. The LA seems to be pulling out of managing leisure centres which creates problems	3/25/2015 8:20 PM
11	Involves moving school	3/25/2015 8:05 PM
12	Yes but this doesn't have to include a new school at all, the existing school and leisure facilities are excellent in location and just require moderate investment to improve and encouragement for community use.	3/25/2015 7:45 PM
13	Keep playing fields simple. We don't need tennis courts the ones at Stocksbridge /P6S / Wortley are all underused, Cycle hub? Penistone has a struggling one can the area really sustain one?	3/25/2015 7:17 PM
14	Up to a point but a rural community needs to be encouraged to pursue rural pursuits rather than urban ones.	3/24/2015 9:35 PM
15	Once again there are enough sport and leisure facilities a short distance away.	3/21/2015 12:04 PM
16	I disagree with this because facilities were built at the new Penistone Grammar School for the area and also Stocksbridge Leisure Centre was closed down despite protests. Also who would fund maintenance of these facilities.	3/20/2015 6:09 PM
17	We are village. This is plan for a city	3/20/2015 4:38 PM
18	Not on the proposed site. That area is the only one in the village	3/20/2015 4:25 PM
19	Yes but the existing facilities just need investment and encouragement for use.	3/20/2015 4:13 PM
20	Yet again Oxspring is a village. Stocksbridge and Penistone already struggle to stay open	3/20/2015 3:53 PM
21	Unsure would have concerns on its maintenance and would not want to encourage sites / areas which encourage anti social behaviour.	3/15/2015 8:56 PM
22	Bowling facilities will be very welcome, particularly to older participants. Could it not be at other end of development	3/15/2015 8:20 PM
23	I passionately believe in the value of exercise for all ages	3/15/2015 4:02 PM
24	Both Penistone and Stocksbridge have struggled to survive and we certainly don't need more traffic	3/15/2015 3:21 PM
25	How will a safe link be ensured between riverside, playground and sports area	3/14/2015 5:56 PM
26	Again no provision for parking and is too far for elderly and disabled to get to. Bowling Green is too far out of village	3/14/2015 12:50 PM
27	Not to the extent specified	3/14/2015 12:35 PM
28	I disagree as there were good facilities at, Penistone, Bridge End, Stocks bridge and Barnsley all with good transport links, even walking	3/11/2015 3:28 PM
29	In principle but ensure that unrestricted access is maintained to open spaces	3/11/2015 3:20 PM
30	Where will be the funding come from?	3/11/2015 3:03 PM
31	We already have these at Penistone and are not used fully	3/11/2015 2:48 PM
32	Financial implications may spoil this	3/11/2015 12:44 PM
33	Yes but only of the right capacity, we are a village and Penistone does have facilities.	3/11/2015 12:34 PM
34	Improved leisure facilities are long overdue. They bring communities together and increase social opportunities	3/11/2015 10:57 AM
35	There is a limit to what is feasible in a small village - though a range of facilities would be lovely, ongoing maintenance is costly in volunteer time as well as financially.	3/7/2015 3:44 PM

### Q9 (1 of 2) Do you agree with the first Policy on Connectivity Infrastructure - NLB/C1? (page 7)

Answered: 83 Skipped: 4



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	86.75% 72
No	13.25% 11
<b>Total</b>	<b>83</b>


#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	Part of the statement "including the delivery of locally generated green energy" is unacceptable. The implications of such a statement are at this time unknown, a full investigation and review is needed. If such an investigation and review cannot be undertaken prior to the issue of the final draft document this statement should be deleted.	3/28/2015 5:03 PM
2	Apart from better access types the TPT is easily reached and is very well used by walkers, cyclists, runners, horse riders etc. As a dog walker I can never get fed up of walks as there are so many different paths in any direction from the village.	3/28/2015 3:38 PM
3	Broadband should be dealt with and be improved outside this plan. i.e. it should not be dependent on any potential development.	3/28/2015 12:33 PM
4	Impossible to answer need to know more. This whole page needs clarification. We are being asked to say yes or no to generalisation we need to know exactly what is involved with each.	3/26/2015 6:51 PM
5	Yes every village should have the suggested level of IT connectivity in this day and age, but how does the ONHPG expect to deliver on this without new housing developments and developers to provide/fund it.	3/25/2015 7:48 PM
6	In principle yes although I would like to see more detailed plans - what kind of business could work in this area? Cheap rents / rates for start-ups? Priority/help for businesses offering apprenticeship	3/24/2015 9:42 PM
7	What local businesses? we do not need anymore	3/21/2015 12:07 PM
8	Village and should not become industrial	3/20/2015 4:39 PM
9	The area should not become industrial	3/20/2015 4:26 PM
10	Never had issue with broadband connectivity and even if I had it is small price to pay for living in village rather than city.	3/20/2015 3:59 PM
11	Will effect everyone not just businesses. It will be very welcome	3/15/2015 8:31 PM
12	Love the idea of improved and new walkways	3/15/2015 4:04 PM

## Oxspring NDP Survey - Volunteers

13	I do not have problem with the broadband service we have	3/15/2015 3:25 PM
14	Oxspring is a village not an industrial estate	3/11/2015 2:49 PM
15	Vital for new business as well as households.	3/11/2015 12:46 PM

**Q10 (2 of 2) Do you agree with the second Policy on Connectivity Infrastructure - NLB/C2? (page 7)**

Answered: 85 Skipped: 2



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	81.18% 69
No	18.82% 16
<b>Total</b>	<b>85</b>


#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	The last paragraph of this policy is unacceptable due to the reference to community generated green energy. The implications of such a statement are at this time unknown, a full investigation and review is needed. If such an investigation and review cannot be undertaken prior to the issue of the final draft document this statement should be deleted.	3/28/2015 5:03 PM
2	What does locally generated green energy refer to? We don't want numerous wind turbines in Oxspring. We do want improved IT connectivity for existing businesses and residents. The TPT is already easily accessible and well used by walkers and cyclists - a new surface that makes cycling safer was laid a few years ago.	3/28/2015 4:19 PM
3	This could extend to include the the introduction of a Halt on the existing Penistone Railway Line located adjacent to the current Ant Hills Reserve including a nominal amount of parking comparable with, for example, Dodworth Station.	3/28/2015 1:10 PM
4	The existing road network does not require changing beyond parking restrictions being put in place and enforced. Car share not relevant. Public transport not relevant to this plan insufficient information	3/28/2015 12:33 PM
5	Does this include wind turbines I am not against but a lot of people are. Need to know what community generated green energy means and consists of. No electric car points or no road widening.	3/26/2015 6:51 PM
6	The TPT is already used by walkers and cyclists who come to the area just to use the TPT. As a dog owner there are many different walks to go on in every direction using public footpaths. These footpaths could be better signposted however.	3/26/2015 4:40 PM
7	In principle yes although I would like to see more detailed plans - what kind of business could work in this area? Cheap rents / rates for start-ups? Priority/help for businesses offering apprenticeship	3/24/2015 9:42 PM
8	What local businesses? we do not need anymore	3/21/2015 12:07 PM
9	The area should not become industrial	3/20/2015 4:26 PM
10	No issue with transport the paths are fine	3/20/2015 3:59 PM

## Oxspring NDP Survey - Volunteers

11	Love the idea of improved and new walkways	3/15/2015 4:04 PM
12	Why do we need more footpaths is this really green	3/15/2015 3:25 PM
13	I think any cycleways should be separate from walkways as there are issues with speeding cyclists already on the TPT.	3/14/2015 12:20 PM
14	No camping or caravan sites opening. This could cause security issues within the village.	3/11/2015 3:29 PM

**Q11 (1 of 2) Do you agree with the first Policy on Growing the Rural Economy - NLB/RE1? (page 8)**

Answered: 83 Skipped: 4



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	81.93% 68
No	18.07% 15
<b>Total</b>	<b>83</b>


#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	See comment relating to Site B	3/28/2015 5:03 PM
2	Limited employment growth of one or two small shops or a cafe is all that Oxspring requires to support a few tourists who wish to visit. It is a small rural village and that is why people choose to live here rather than a larger village or town e.g. Penistone where numerous facilities are available and is only short distance away with access to the TPT.	3/28/2015 4:19 PM
3	Oxspring is a village it cannot cater for the amount of traffic etc which would be created by new business and tourism. Penistone is a good example of how much extra traffic is generated by Tesco etc. It is a nightmare for traffic.	3/28/2015 3:38 PM
4	I agree with this proposal but only as outlined on the proposed / earmarked site opposite Martree Business Park.	3/28/2015 1:10 PM
5	Existing developments in this area are in the bottom of the valley and therefore do not impact significantly with the views across the valley. Any development should be in keeping with this. It should not be built to provide a reason to look for a need that has to be decided first and exactly what it is.	3/28/2015 12:33 PM
6	Agree with some things not with others. No car charging, No tents, no festivals, no tourism, no overnight stays, no caravans, no toilet blocks, no shower blocks, no car parks.	3/26/2015 6:51 PM
7	Not convinced that Oxspring can become a tourist mecca. Like the car-share hub idea and would definitely use it.	3/26/2015 6:12 PM
8	Oxspring is a small village that can not cope with a large amount of traffic that tourism and new businesses would bring. Penistone is an excellent example of a town that has an unsustainable amount of traffic. I do not want the village I live in to become a tourist attraction.	3/26/2015 4:40 PM
9	Lack of any accommodation will need to be a major focus. Restaurants ok but accommodation nil.	3/25/2015 8:23 PM
10	Yes but only on the area currently designated at Springvale opposite Martree Business Park.	3/25/2015 7:48 PM
11	Very important. We can do this.	3/24/2015 9:42 PM

## Oxspring NDP Survey - Volunteers

12	Just leave village alone it is good as it is	3/21/2015 12:07 PM
13	Tourism is this a joke	3/20/2015 3:59 PM
14	Why are you trying to persist in making this village in to a city	3/15/2015 3:25 PM
15	I do not believe site P2 is suitable for employment area as such further development will reduce the beauty of the area on green land. In addition there are major power lines on this site.	3/14/2015 12:30 PM
16	Like the quietness. Too many festivals etc will spoil this create other problems,	3/11/2015 12:16 PM
17	Concerns re access to site with increased traffic.	3/11/2015 12:06 PM

**Q12 (2 of 2) Do you agree with the second Policy on Growing the Rural Economy - NLB/RE2? (page 8)**

Answered: 85 Skipped: 2



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	80.00%	68
No	20.00%	17
<b>Total</b>		<b>85</b>


#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	See comment relating to Site B	3/28/2015 5:03 PM
2	Limited employment growth of one or two small shops or a cafe is all that Oxspring requires to support a few tourists who wish to visit. It is a small rural village and that is why people choose to live here rather than a larger village or town e.g. Penistone where numerous facilities are available and is only short distance away with access to the TPT.	3/28/2015 4:19 PM
3	Oxspring is a village it cannot cater for the amount of traffic etc which would be created by new business and tourism. Penistone is a good example of how much extra traffic is generated by Tesco etc. It is a nightmare for traffic.	3/28/2015 3:38 PM
4	Tourist accommodation would bring unwanted additional traffic and spoil the fabric of the village.	3/28/2015 12:33 PM
5	Not convinced that Oxspring can become a tourist mecca. Like the car-share hub idea and would definitely use it.	3/26/2015 6:12 PM
6	Not convinced that Oxspring can become a tourist mecca. Like the car-share hub idea and would definitely use it.	3/26/2015 5:13 PM
7	Oxspring is a small village that can not cope with a large amount of traffic that tourism and new businesses would bring. Penistone is an excellent example of a town that has an unsustainable amount of traffic. I do not want the village I live in to become a tourist attraction.	3/26/2015 4:40 PM
8	Why is it going in policy ? 79% don't want cafe etc, 69% don't think tourism should be encouraged and 67% don't think we should have retail.	3/25/2015 7:19 PM
9	Very important. We can do this.	3/24/2015 9:42 PM
10	Just leave village alone it is good as it is	3/21/2015 12:07 PM
11	Tourism is this a joke	3/20/2015 3:59 PM
12	Why are you trying to persist in making this village in to a city	3/15/2015 3:25 PM

## Oxspring NDP Survey - Volunteers

13	I do not believe site P2 is suitable for employment area as such further development will reduce the beauty of the area on green land. In addition there are major power lines on this site.	3/14/2015 12:30 PM
14	But increased traffic could be a problem and then speeding.	3/11/2015 12:27 PM

### Q13 Do you agree with the Policy on Developing Community Sustainable Energy – NLB/CSE? (page 9)

Answered: 83 Skipped: 4



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	81.93% 68
No	18.07% 15
<b>Total</b>	<b>83</b>


#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	Provided any strategy developed will be brought back to the parishioners for evaluation	3/28/2015 5:03 PM
2	Not sufficient plans or information supplied. What exactly does this mean? How will energy be generated?	3/28/2015 4:19 PM
3	Collective energy purchase schemes, street lighting sensors, Yes windfarms etc no, no, no! People have a choice with green deal etc. If they want solar panels its their choice but it does in my opinion spoil the look of a property.	3/28/2015 3:38 PM
4	Agreeable to this as long as the sustainable proposals are in-keeping with the character and nature of the village harmonising its existing feel and theme. Ideally would like to see a resident/village funded company with shareholders to fund the development of a hydro turbine. In this location of an historic feature which was used for generating energy for a local mill.	3/28/2015 1:10 PM
5	I agree with green energy but it does not follow that Oxspring can provide the natural elements in sufficient quantity. This has to be decided including what would be built and where before nay agreement to develop the village in this manner.	3/28/2015 12:33 PM
6	Needs far more explanation and precise details again far too vague. Important question not enough info to answer correctly. Definitely do not want sensors on street lights. Agree with some green energy but need to know what and how?	3/26/2015 6:51 PM
7	It may be useful for you to know that Penistone Friends of the Earth paid for a professional feasibility study of the river don suitability for hydroelectric generation. Contact Pete Riley for more info via Friends of the Earth Penistone website	3/26/2015 6:12 PM
8	It may be useful for you to know that Penistone Friends of the Earth paid for a professional feasibility study of the River Dons suitability for hydroelectric generation. Contact Pete Riley for more info via <a href="http://www.penistonefoe.org.uk/campaigns-and-projects/river-don-energy">www.penistonefoe.org.uk/campaigns-and-projects/river-don-energy</a>	3/26/2015 5:13 PM
9	Marvellous if could be acheived. St Aidans is already part of an energy cooperative among churches which has reduced our bills. The roof is not viable for use by St Aidans alone but could be used to share with others.	3/25/2015 8:23 PM

## Oxspring NDP Survey - Volunteers

10	General principles place the emphasis on private households & businesses. This already exists through existing financial incentives such as feed-in tariffs.	3/25/2015 8:07 PM
11	Encourage solar panels on all south-facing roofs e.g. the Berneslai Homes bungalows - lots of s facing roof space here. All new builds only allowed if solar panels part of new build.	3/24/2015 9:42 PM
12	Although no to large and unsightly windfarms	3/21/2015 12:19 PM
13	I have ticked no although this would depend on what method of sustainable energy this would be i.e. I would object to wind turbines.	3/20/2015 6:10 PM
14	This is very important and is an exciting, creative and innovative project in making the best use of resources	3/20/2015 4:46 PM
15	No windfarms	3/20/2015 4:39 PM
16	Not wind farms	3/20/2015 4:26 PM
17	Not convinced it makes economic sense	3/20/2015 4:18 PM
18	I would dearly love to see my bills reduced and have no faith what so ever in this plan delivering.	3/20/2015 3:59 PM
19	I would welcome solar panels and the installation of appropriately sited wind turbines.	3/15/2015 8:31 PM
20	I fail to believe how this can work when the government struggle to reduce energy bills	3/15/2015 3:25 PM
21	Sounds like more money deals	3/11/2015 2:49 PM
22	Would wind farms be erected?	3/11/2015 12:16 PM
23	Interfering big brother scheme. Domestic energy is the business of the owner occupant not the village.	3/11/2015 11:53 AM
24	Not sure	3/11/2015 11:44 AM
25	Sounds like a pipe dream	3/11/2015 11:05 AM

### Q14 Do you agree with the Policy on Protecting and Enhancing Natural Biodiversity Assets - NRE/PE? (Page 10)

Answered: 83 Skipped: 4



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	93.98% 78
No	6.02% 5
<b>Total</b>	<b>83</b>


#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	I agree with protecting but not enhancing the local environment by creating new walkway etc which would require building work which would have adverse effect on wildlife. Current access to TPT is sufficient with wheelchair access at the willows. How can creating a multi sports field and the building of a new school on the playing fields with all the building works required be protecting the wildlife?	3/28/2015 4:25 PM
2	The idea in general sounds ok but would need to be done carefully. Nothing beats the natural beauty of untouched nature. Creating too much man made features can discourage wildlife. I remember dog walking on the old railway line before it became TPT.	3/28/2015 3:50 PM
3	Agree in principle but is it within the ONPGs gift to deliver or even enforce this given that the majority of the areas on which these assets would fall are under private, local ownership and a distinct lack of ONPG engagement with land owners / developers makes this highly unlikely.	3/28/2015 1:18 PM
4	Could include type of community allotment maybe encourage school children to plant and grow, seed share etc within community run by volunteers. I would volunteer.	3/26/2015 6:59 PM
5	All sounds good and achievable with limited budgets.	3/26/2015 6:14 PM
6	Although I do agree with the points made, expanding field margins will count for nothing if entire fields are built on for housing etc.	3/26/2015 4:43 PM
7	How will this be delivered?	3/25/2015 7:54 PM
8	I have already raised this issue and tried to involve BMBC - not always successfully. Strongly agree with all points mentioned here.	3/24/2015 9:52 PM
9	But can the ONPG deliver this alone?	3/20/2015 4:15 PM
10	I believe in nurturing the rural environment but if this mindless plan goes ahead Oxspring will no longer be rural.	3/20/2015 4:03 PM
11	Completely agree with this	3/15/2015 4:06 PM

## Oxspring NDP Survey - Volunteers

12	I agree with protecting the countryside but sadly feel this has been put in as a selling point for a devastating plan.	3/15/2015 3:34 PM
13	I believe that accepting the safeguarded land concept is not consistent with the policies on protecting and enhancing biodiversity.	3/14/2015 4:23 PM
14	Within reason	3/14/2015 12:36 PM
15	Our river Don could produce power with the re-build of the willow bridge weir with a turbine built in	3/14/2015 12:21 PM
16	This is what we need not another Penistone	3/11/2015 2:53 PM
17	Can become woolly, must be specific to village.	3/11/2015 12:48 PM
18	Riverside walks would be a fantastic addition	3/11/2015 12:36 PM

### Q15 Do you agree with the Policy on Creating New Links and Connections to the TPT etc. - NRE/LC? (Page 10)

Answered: 83 Skipped: 4



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	86.75% 72
No	13.25% 11
<b>Total</b>	<b>83</b>


#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	Although I agree in principal in the footpaths and Nature Trails network The identified access's to the TPT are well overdue and should be listed as projects in their own right and not be linked to other aspiration projects which may not materialise. A ramped access at Roughbitchworth lane has been proposed a number of times in the past and has always been rejected by the TPT management team, the principal reason being as too expensive.	3/28/2015 5:03 PM
2	Any dog walker appreciates the natural numerous wlkways around Oxspring with the abundance of wildlife. We don't want man made wlkways which would require destruction of current habitats with building work required.	3/28/2015 4:25 PM
3	Disabled access and pushchair access could be better for TPT. Otherwise access is ok. A riverside walk would be nice but not at the expense of scaring away wildlife which may happen with too much public access.	3/28/2015 3:50 PM
4	I do agree with the initial part of the policy but do not agree with items i and ii	3/28/2015 1:18 PM
5	This should not be dependant on the decisions relating to any other development.	3/28/2015 12:37 PM
6	Agree in principle but would depend on what concessions would have to be made to landowner.	3/26/2015 6:59 PM
7	As far as is achievable as landowners may not be cooperative.	3/26/2015 6:14 PM
8	A riverside walk is a good idea but further access points to the TPT are not needed. There is an access point at the school that is close to Roughbitchworth, what is wrong with this?	3/26/2015 4:43 PM
9	Important to link activities	3/25/2015 8:25 PM
10	But without the items stated under 1 at 11. There is no need for a new school and there is no need for the current one to be sacrificed for the ridiculous housing shown as Option C, p14.	3/25/2015 7:54 PM
11	I agree but don't want to see new school as set out in part ii	3/25/2015 7:20 PM
12	Again strongly agree with this policy - safe places to walk and to make people more aware of our natural resources.	3/24/2015 9:52 PM

## Oxspring NDP Survey - Volunteers

13	I would agree that new access to the TPT is required however I do not agree that the site should be where the old school was as I do not believe this old building should be demolished.	3/20/2015 6:13 PM
14	Too daft to laugh at!!!! Its a TPT not a motorway	3/20/2015 4:03 PM
15	Some access points could be improved on the TPT - e.g. for disabled access	3/15/2015 8:59 PM
16	Completely I use the TPT for walking and running. Far beyond the borders of Oxspring a wonderful asset for us.	3/15/2015 4:06 PM
17	There is more than enough access to the TPT. I am surprised that you haven't suggested a white line down the middle and perhaps a service station.	3/15/2015 3:34 PM
18	I agree with riverside walks. I don't agree with building a new school so do not agree with developing the school site.	3/11/2015 3:06 PM
19	Fine as it is	3/11/2015 2:53 PM
20	Only if care is taken as to where the links would be in relation to residents.	3/11/2015 12:36 PM

**Q16 Do you agree with the Policy on Preparing for Climate Change - NRE/CC? (page 11)**

Answered: 82 Skipped: 5




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	91.46% 75
No	8.54% 7
<b>Total</b>	<b>82</b>

#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	Not answered by choice	3/28/2015 5:03 PM
2	I do not want to see windfarms around the village and I do not want to see much if any more development.	3/28/2015 3:50 PM
3	It would be nice to include suitably sized attenuation balancing ponds which will enhance the rural aspect creating wetland habitat and meadows.	3/28/2015 1:18 PM
4	Agree in principle to a low carbon future but need details. Despite my requests in past years it has not been possible to establish who is responsible for the stream at the point where it goes underground at the top of the fields end. This is a good example of with agreement should not be given without exacting information.	3/28/2015 12:37 PM
5	School move to edge of village contradicts aim to reduce car movements. Better to upgrade current connections.	3/25/2015 8:09 PM
6	Yes but all the ridiculous proposals for development being put forward by the ONHPG conflict with reducing travel around the borough especially with a sports facility big enough to alter for the entire borough.	3/25/2015 7:54 PM
7	Agree with all points here although not sure how they could be very effective if large-scale new building happened. Surface of school staff car park is a good idea - Any new build to have this?	3/24/2015 9:52 PM
8	Leave national policies to set the standard	3/20/2015 4:19 PM
9	With the plan have you actually thought that more building concrete takes away the element of natural drainage	3/20/2015 4:03 PM
10	I do agree with preparing for climate change but all this building in village takes away natural drainage so how can this be environmentally friendly.	3/15/2015 3:34 PM
11	If you build the school you will create a flood risk by diverting all the natural underground culverts under the site.	3/11/2015 2:53 PM
12	But planners have a habit of building on flood plains.	3/11/2015 12:48 PM
13	The transport system will have to be improved.	3/11/2015 11:54 AM

## Q17 Do you agree with the Policy on Protecting the Rural Heritage – NRE/RH? (page 11)

Answered: 82 Skipped: 5



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	93.90% 77
No	6.10% 5
<b>Total</b>	<b>82</b>


#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	Yes i agree with protecting the heritage of our parish but when will these so called rural heritage areas become known and thus be available for further investigation.	3/28/2015 5:03 PM
2	Yes don't knock down the lovely old primary school building	3/28/2015 4:25 PM
3	The village has already lost much of its rural heritage knocking down the school and replacing it with a new modern one i.e. Springvale will do nothing to protect the heritage or character of the village.	3/28/2015 3:50 PM
4	Yes however the ONPG proposals give no consideration to this whatsoever and in fact the latter part of this policy being implemented would exclude the majority of what is being put forward by the ONPG, thus highlighting the fact its a generally flawed aspiration.	3/28/2015 1:18 PM
5	Who gets to decide what will have an adverse affect?	3/28/2015 12:37 PM
6	Yes good idea how about creating an Oxspring ABC or parish map see <a href="http://www.commonground.org.uk">www.commonground.org.uk</a>	3/26/2015 6:14 PM
7	This is vital to protect a village first mentioned in Domesday Book.	3/26/2015 4:43 PM
8	Info needed for the curious Where is Throstle Nest? Which are the two structures which are listed	3/25/2015 8:25 PM
9	Yes but the consultation draft and proposals there in overlook the basis at this requirement with the abhorrent looking development being proposed.	3/25/2015 7:54 PM
10	In general agree, although a balance needs to be achieved between preserving some aspects of the past and enabling today's population to function in today's economy of society	3/24/2015 9:52 PM
11	One of the main historic buildings in Oxspring is the village school but the NP state that this is to be demolished surely this makes policy questionable!! Oxspring is already a village and should be if at all be developed naturally. We are not creating a garden village.	3/20/2015 6:13 PM
12	But the ONPG items shown in the consultation draft are in conflict with this.	3/20/2015 4:15 PM

## Oxspring NDP Survey - Volunteers

13	Your wanting to get rid of one of the oldest and most precious buildings in the village - the school	3/20/2015 4:03 PM
14	How can this be when you are wanting to destroy the oldest building we have got i.e. the school	3/15/2015 3:34 PM
15	I should have thought that we all do	3/11/2015 2:53 PM

**Q18 Do you agree with the ideas & concepts proposed for Site A - A New Primary School at the Heart of the Community's Sports and Recreation Hub?  
(page 12)**

Answered: 85 Skipped: 2



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	71.76% 61
No	28.24% 24
<b>Total</b>	<b>85</b>

#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	I would firstly like to see the statistics which suggest that such a new school is a necessity different opinions exist as to whether the school is at full capacity. What seems to be happening when sports facilities and schools come together is that they often result in them both being surrounded by high fences making the amenities accessible to a minority of people. As regards the specific proposals my main concerns are as follows 1. Such a development would probably result in not a village school but in a local area school serving not only Oxspring but much of surrounding area. The possible implications of such a development need careful consideration. 2. The sports field at present is much more than just that to local people, it acts as a park and recreational facility and has open access free of charge. I would welcome some development and sensible increased use of the area.	3/28/2015 5:09 PM
2	Totally unnecessary the current school is large enough for current needs and for future needs as only small scale development is proposed. The new multi sports field is way over the top for a small primary school all the planned facilities are already available locally at PGS, Penistone, Springvale. The only thing required is a sports pavilion with changing room and facilities.	3/28/2015 4:32 PM
3	Village school is already at the heart of the village. State of the heart sports facilities do not fit in with rural village status. Sports facilities are easily found not too far from Oxspring in many directions.	3/28/2015 3:54 PM
4	Absolutely and emphatically no, the existing facility with its current and likely reducing cohort over future years, is more than adequate as it is requiring only minor enhancements over time to maintain it for the use and purposes of the teachers, pupils and local community.	3/28/2015 1:24 PM
5	We do not need a bigger school. We do not need a farmers market or increased sports facilities nor do we need a road instead of a track even if we need a new school of same size original school exterior should be preserved as part of the agreement.	3/28/2015 12:43 PM

## Oxspring NDP Survey - Volunteers


6	But not a commercial leisure centre Yes to sports hall for school but do not want to encourage people from outside area. Residents use only with maybe one sign in facility. Stocksbridge and Penistone already proved not viable.	3/26/2015 7:02 PM
7	See comments q4. Already fantastic sporting facilities at PGS easily accessible by bike from Oxspring which are not fully used Think upgrade to football field and new changing facilities more realistic. Not sure that the maintenance and upkeep of facilities has been taken in to account e.g. keeping a cricket pitch playable requires cutting of outfield at least once a week and prep of square at least 6-7hrs per week plus upkeep. Maintenance of equipment including specialised rollers and mowers etc.	3/26/2015 6:21 PM
8	The current school is part of character of the village and if the new school looks like Springvale or PGS it will be an eyesore in stark contrast with the policy on protecting the rural heritage. It is a village school suitable for a village.	3/26/2015 4:46 PM
9	Moves the school from centre of village	3/25/2015 8:10 PM
10	A ridiculous suggestion	3/25/2015 7:57 PM
11	Don't want to see a new school, the parish will not be able to sustain tennis courts, cycle hub, athletics track, exposed bowling green, farmers market, cafe, cricket pitch. These facilities are all available locally and are all underused and heavily subsidised.	3/25/2015 7:27 PM
12	In general agree although realistically it may be necessary for financial reasons to prioritise which to do first and be prepared to limit number of sports provided for.	3/24/2015 9:57 PM
13	I have reservations about permanent stalls for a farmers market and the annual gala. In our climate there is too much wind and rain	3/20/2015 4:48 PM
14	This is wrong place for what is proposed. The first stage of a village not being a village anymore.	3/20/2015 4:41 PM
15	Wrong Site	3/20/2015 4:27 PM
16	Absolutely not	3/20/2015 4:16 PM
17	As stated previously there is no need for any of the above. Leave the school be	3/20/2015 4:05 PM
18	I agree but am concerned about where the finance for such a scheme would come from. As I work in another local school I am all too familiar with the cuts and reduction in LA funding to school and lack of money which is forthcoming.	3/15/2015 9:17 PM
19	What if this is built and we end up with greens development as well. If the new school was built I would want to ensure no other houses are built in the village.	3/15/2015 9:06 PM
20	As I have said before the school is in the heart of the village why build a bigger school to bring more traffic from outside areas. We need to stay rural.	3/15/2015 3:38 PM
21	Is nursery / child care considered?	3/14/2015 5:58 PM
22	Yes new primary school Community Sports and recreation centre? lets not mislead the public in to something that is undeliverable	3/14/2015 5:29 PM
23	Same reasons as Q4	3/14/2015 12:52 PM
24	With the addition of traffic lights / pelican crossings to allow safe crossing of main Sheffield Road. This should also include traffic calming measures to take in to account the very young and older peoples access and crossing requirements using public transport	3/14/2015 12:46 PM
25	Too big we shall be over-run with families from outside the area	3/14/2015 12:37 PM
26	See comments in Q4	3/11/2015 3:31 PM
27	I agree with new sports facilities on site A but not a school	3/11/2015 3:08 PM
28	The building is an eyesore and the traffic would be unmanageable	3/11/2015 2:54 PM
29	As long as funding is sorted	3/11/2015 2:42 PM
30	But get capacity right do not build large and expect people to come. Up	3/11/2015 12:39 PM
31	New school no Community sports, recreation hub yes	3/11/2015 12:19 PM
32	Only concern is that green field would be used and may open the way for more development on green field,	3/11/2015 12:08 PM

## Oxspring NDP Survey - Volunteers

33	Mainly. Where will the parking be? The tennis courts are badly sited, they will need high fences that will upset residents with field views,	3/11/2015 11:57 AM
34	As stated earlier the sports facilities are untenable long term I feel.	3/7/2015 3:47 PM

### Q19 Do you agree with the ideas & concepts proposed for Site B – Oxspring’s Riverside Biodiversity Nature Trail? (page 13)

Answered: 83 Skipped: 4



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	90.36% 75
No	9.64% 8
<b>Total</b>	<b>83</b>


#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	The idea of a riverside walk is most appealing, but the impression given in the document is probably unrealistic due to cost. This takes away the focus from more modest schemes which may be achievable. A more realistic proposal of a riverside woodland footpath on both sides of parts of the river bank should be pursued.	3/28/2015 5:09 PM
2	The natural footpaths are already there and to create new walkways would have an adverse effect on local wildlife and their habitat.	3/28/2015 4:32 PM
3	A riverside trail would be nice but if overused could discourage wildlife which is already there but the idea is nice.	3/28/2015 3:54 PM
4	Yes, in principle though its a rather audacious and presumptuous collection of ideas given they're indicated on land outboard of ONPGs grasp with an opportunity already missed to co-operate and engage with the developer who has secured planning here.	3/28/2015 1:24 PM
5	In theory a good idea though assume this would be subject to agreement by landowners and not sure how easy it is to create new public rights of way.	3/26/2015 6:21 PM
6	Seems very good use of riverside	3/25/2015 8:27 PM
7	But how can this be delivered without local landowners and developers providing everything thats needed.	3/25/2015 7:57 PM
8	I like the riverside walk but really it doesn't need to be developed it could just be a footpath. Bandstand, why? who will upkeep it, clean it, who will use it?	3/25/2015 7:27 PM
9	All good ideas	3/24/2015 9:57 PM
10	As long as this is done sensitively so as not to ruin too much of the wildlifes habitat (i.e. keeping as many trees as possible	3/21/2015 12:24 PM
11	A Bandstand surely not in a village Some of the ideas are ridiculous	3/20/2015 6:15 PM

## Oxspring NDP Survey - Volunteers

12	Agree but can the ONPG deliver this?	3/20/2015 4:16 PM
13	Village / Countryside / Nature P13 - man made artificial not pretty may be for a city park.	3/20/2015 4:05 PM
14	Who would look after it, would it come out of Parish funds. The river is over fished as it is without encouraging easy access to it.	3/15/2015 9:06 PM
15	Look forward to this	3/15/2015 4:06 PM
16	I think this proposal is more intended for a city centre.	3/15/2015 3:38 PM
17	It would need to be managed and maintained unlike the springvale walk that was vandalised	3/14/2015 5:49 PM
18	Fishing access needs to be environmentally acceptable to protect birds and wildlife (e.g. no lead based weights, use of bait that has impact on water purity/ wildlife eating etc).	3/14/2015 12:46 PM
19	Excellent	3/11/2015 2:42 PM
20	Best idea of all as it creates the ability to enjoy our natural asset	3/11/2015 12:39 PM
21	Brilliant8	3/11/2015 11:47 AM

**Q20 Do you agree with the ideas & concepts proposed for Site C – Accessible Homes for Oxspring? (page 14)**

Answered: 82 Skipped: 5



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	70.73% 58
No	29.27% 24
<b>Total</b>	<b>82</b>

#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	Should the site of the existing school ever become available for re-use, emphasis should be given to retaining the fabric of the building along with its heritage and historical past. The rest of the land should be developed in a way that is sympathetic with other dwellings which enclose the site. Perhaps the farmers market and other community facilities could be incorporated in to any proposed development.	3/28/2015 5:09 PM
2	I agree on a small scale development for affordable housing with strict guidelines on who it is available for i.e. first time buyers and not built on green belt land on the site of the current village school.	3/28/2015 4:32 PM
3	This is the site of the village school and should remain so.	3/28/2015 3:54 PM
4	Absolutely not the entire concept, overall style, orientation and potential aesthetics of this proposal is horrendous and does nothing to add anything to the character and general street style of the village.	3/28/2015 1:24 PM
5	Existing school fabric should be preserved. Any new build should be in keeping with existing developments. Nothing should be done to jeopardise the long standing low crime rate or general feel / function of the village.	3/28/2015 12:43 PM
6	Provided houses are aesthetically pleasing and in keeping with village. No social housing, housing for locals only.	3/26/2015 7:02 PM
7	Agree with the concept of zero carbon homes and small scale housing but don't think that this is the best site.	3/26/2015 6:21 PM
8	Although I am opposed to any building in the area and the repercussions it could bring such as an increase in traffic.	3/26/2015 4:46 PM
9	Unlikely to be successful Displaces school to edge of village. Loss of centre.	3/25/2015 8:10 PM
10	No the most hideous suggestion throughout and the consultation draft is riddled with them. I wonder if the driver for these types of eco building is personal gain on someones part!!!	3/25/2015 7:57 PM
11	I do not wish to see the beautiful old school demolished and replaced.	3/25/2015 7:27 PM

## Oxspring NDP Survey - Volunteers

12	Only if they really were affordable the ones on Woods Garage site were meant to be affordable but are now out of the range of many local young ones. Housing association? Part owned by Parish?	3/24/2015 9:57 PM
13	I think the schools should be preserved and adapted to apartments or to form some part in the plans for the development. This school will hold many memories for people in the area and is also a lovely old building that contributes to a lot of Oxsprings character. To knock this down would be terrible shame	3/21/2015 12:24 PM
14	Because we do not want them on greenland	3/21/2015 12:08 PM
15	Although I agree with accessible homes I do not wish to see this on this site. I do not agree with demolition of school.	3/20/2015 6:15 PM
16	I agree it would be good to develop houses on this site so long as they are planned sympathetically with local houses.	3/20/2015 4:48 PM
17	Out of character of village	3/20/2015 4:41 PM
18	We have to take control of the development of the village to ensure the village grows in a balanced and proper way and there is future in village for everyone	3/20/2015 4:32 PM
19	Wrong design out of character and place	3/20/2015 4:27 PM
20	Absolutely not - a ridiculous proposal	3/20/2015 4:16 PM
21	Reasons previously stated - No building This plan rips the heart out of this village	3/20/2015 4:05 PM
22	If this is the only site which is developed then ok. However if Barnsley Local Plan is adopted and there is no development for Oxspring for the next 30yrs why should we highlight areas to build on.	3/15/2015 9:06 PM
23	Couldn't the old school building be connected to housing, a lot of money was spent on extensions recently and the building seems sound enough. We don't want to encourage the building of executive homes in the area. Penistone is bursting at seems already	3/15/2015 3:52 PM
24	No how many times I do not wish to see the village school moved to make way for even more housing	3/15/2015 3:38 PM
25	I agree with idea and concepts but I don't think the illustration of possible redevelopment is inkeeping with what is needed. I am referring to both the design and layout of the possible houses.	3/14/2015 5:45 PM
26	Accessible homes are needed (but where)	3/14/2015 5:29 PM
27	Also need provision of older peoples needs not just first time buyers - the village will have an ageing population so provision to downsize will allow older people to choose to stay in village thus releasing family sized homes.	3/14/2015 12:46 PM
28	Providing this is affordable	3/14/2015 12:37 PM
29	The idea for accessible homes is good but not on the school site we would like to see the school building survive any ideas.	3/14/2015 12:23 PM
30	I totally disagree using the existing school sites to build on as this would destroy the fabric of the village.	3/11/2015 3:31 PM
31	I do not agree with knocking down the old school	3/11/2015 3:21 PM
32	Not on that site as the school should not be moved	3/11/2015 3:08 PM
33	There is no guarantee that locals will buy these	3/11/2015 2:54 PM
34	No executive homes	3/11/2015 2:42 PM
35	Not certain about the location for access	3/11/2015 12:39 PM
36	But remember the older generation.	3/11/2015 12:24 PM
37	Oxspring is big enough. Affordable housing would decrease the value of houses already there.	3/11/2015 12:19 PM
38	Crucial that Roughbircworth is the designated total no parking zone. Maybe residential parking permits for Mayheld and Tollbar	3/11/2015 11:08 AM

**Q21 Please add any other thoughts or comments you might have below, whether they are on Oxspring in general or the Neighbourhood Plan specifically. Let us know what you think!**

Answered: 5 Skipped: 82

#	Responses	Date
1	1, Is there room for compromise with Stephen Green? Maybe in due course 2. Can various policies be prioritised in due course? Are all essential	3/25/2015 8:27 PM
2	The consultation draft is riddled with contradictions and undeliverable nonsense	3/25/2015 7:58 PM
3	Thank all of you on the committees who have worked so hard and imaginatively to prepare the village plan. It represents many hours of thought, consultations and work. You have done well, Thank You.	3/20/2015 4:50 PM
4	Excellently put together and tries to maximize and improve the assets of the village.	3/11/2015 12:50 PM
5	The school would be fantastic.	3/11/2015 11:37 AM