


# Oxspring Neighbourhood Plan Consultation

## Q1 Do you agree with the Plan's Vision? (page 3)

Answered: 21 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	85.71% 18
No	14.29% 3
<b>Total</b>	<b>21</b>

#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	If we need to have more housing in Oxspring then surely it would make more sense to build on the new school proposed site where the access is straight onto a main road, enabling the safe flow of traffic. Restrictions should be made to allow each house a garden and a least two dedicated car parking spaces to ensure sustainable houses not intermediate housing.	2/14/2015 1:47 PM
2	I agree with the Vision Plan as stated, however the details of it appear to be without any actual detail indicating how this Vision will be achieved. Quotes such as "Promoting a new community leisure , sports and recreation hub" and " sustainable green energy hub" give no indication how this will be achieved. Where will the finance for these new developments come? The current population of Oxspring stands at aproximatly 1,048, so who will be using these new sports facilities?	2/14/2015 6:19 AM
3	Although I feel there are aspects of the plan that are commendable and compelling, parts of it simply do not stack up against each other. The absence of a sense of cohesion makes it feel highly contradictory	2/12/2015 10:36 AM
4	The vision is to keep a rural Parish I object to bring in more professional services to the Parish I agree to improve leisure focusing on the TPT and our sporting events	1/25/2015 5:22 AM
5	I have lived in the area all my life. I can trace my family tree back 400 years and all have lived the area so this qualifies me as a local. As a result I want this exercise to be properly and instead of 20 years think long term ie 50 years. I am not against development of the village but it must be the correct type of development which the generations to come will be proud of. I am not against any development as far down the valley to the foot of Thurgoland Bank again as long as it is the right type.	1/25/2015 4:17 AM
6	It is good to see a long tem plan set in place	1/24/2015 8:08 AM

## Oxspring Neighbourhood Plan Consultation

### Q2 (1 of 3) On the Plan's 3 Ambitions, do you agree with the inclusion of 'Community Wellbeing'? (page 4)

Answered: 21 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	76.19% 16
No	23.81% 5
<b>Total</b>	<b>21</b>

#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	Absolutely no way. We do not need sports facilities that will not be able to be sustained financially, used enough and will be abused and defaced like other areas in Barnsley. They take a lot of money, management and up keep which society cannot support at this time. Look what happened in Mapplewell with tennis courts and play areas. Demolishing a building of character will only add to the de-grading and making Oxspring a faceless village, and become a superb of Penistone with no distinctive character of its own. The more school places you provide the more we open up the area for inappropriate housing development. You should not be building houses where access is poor and dangerous on a minor road, on a curve and on the brow of a hill. I do not understand the term "intermediate" housing. This in itself stigmatises the project and fosters the impression we are to have cheap possibly substandard short term housing. If the village needs houses then bulid two or three bedroom houses with gardens and two car parking spaces, where people can live pleasantly. This could be part ownership to allow the young people of Oxspring to live here.	2/14/2015 1:47 PM
2	Strongly disagree with building a new school on farmland and destroying our heritage by pulling down the existing school rather than improving facilities, as has happened at nieghbouring schools ei : Wortley and Hoylandswaine with success. Oxspring school was built in 1880 and although old, it is a beautifull building, adding to the charm of this rural village, A new school would look totally out of place.	2/14/2015 6:19 AM
3	But would appreciate a better understanding in the document of what 'fostering, promoting and diversifying' in the various aims means	2/13/2015 9:28 AM
4	I am not convinced by the arguments put forward as three key anchors of a wellbeing strategy. They are contradictory and not sufficiently evidenced	2/12/2015 10:36 AM
5	But it is important that the new homes are a reasonable size with decent storage space not just pokey holes	2/4/2015 2:30 AM


## Oxspring Neighbourhood Plan Consultation

6	<p>I don't disagree with the inclusion of 'community wellbeing' as such - but do find the wording of these questions a little biased. The expression of 'community wellbeing' on page 3 is very limited. There is no mention of health - a crucial part of wellbeing and a crucial dimension of wellbeing over the next 20 years as the population ages. I do not like some of the phrasing. What does 'fostering a new school' actually mean? I'm not convinced whether a new school is a necessary part of the plan. Further - what does 'promoting a new community ... hub' mean? We cannot promote something that is not present.</p>	1/29/2015 8:16 AM
7	<p>BUT WOULD NOT SUPPORT RENEWABLE ENERGY VIA WIND FARMS.</p>	1/27/2015 2:02 AM
8	<p>Any new housing developments must be for local people and particularly young locals</p>	1/25/2015 4:17 AM
9	<p>A communities wellbeing relies on one generation deveoping policies that are handed on, picked up and developed by future generations who make their aditions and refinements to the plan. To make Oxspring a place for local people only leads to certain groups and people taking control by heredity which returns us to Fuedalism. I would like to see the ability for incomers to play their part and have equal access to make their future in Ospring. Playing their part is nnot just using as a commuter village or retirement village</p>	1/24/2015 8:08 AM

## Oxspring Neighbourhood Plan Consultation

### Q3 (2 of 3) On the Plan's 3 Ambitions, do you agree with the inclusion of 'Nurturing Local Businesses'? (page 4)

Answered: 20 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	90.00% 18
No	10.00% 2
<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>

#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	Its just a theoretical proposal. Who will fund temporary accommodation, better roads, when we do not need them in Oxspring All options should be considered before the school is demolished and the character lost forever. It needs to be considered how best we could use this building making it sustainable and self-funding. The following could be considered:- arts and craft centre, café with internet access, bike hub for TPT, venue hire ( weddings, business meeting, christening, parties, social events including poker nights, indoor farmers markets on Saturdays), the options are limitless. I personally feel that the value of this building has not been considered when writing the plan. In this economic climate so much could be achieved with relatively little cost. As for eco sustainability nothing is mentioned of subsidising solar panels, wind turbines and ground heat exchange sources. The plan needs to have more of these in my opinion.	2/14/2015 1:47 PM
2	Agree with any plan to improve internet connectivity and speed. As there is no clarification on exactly what a "green energy hub" is, it is impossible to comment on agreement or not.	2/14/2015 6:19 AM
3	In principle yes but the definition of local business is woolly	2/12/2015 10:36 AM
4	I think that there is a need to improve local business to support our rural place and villAge feel Hence around the support for leisure and increasing links to the TPT a and nature trails. ( coffee food etc) I feel there is no need for professional business and further growth in this area The current warehouse facilities are by no way fully occupied and these have not brought in jobs for locals? Peristone our nearby "town" has developed new professional business and again has struggled to move to support local residents Our transport links need improving to allow our ne t generations to attend these types of work in and around Barnsley, Dodworth, Dearne Valley and Sheffield Oxspring is attractive as a rural local due to links to M1 corridor and Woodhead pass.	1/25/2015 5:22 AM

## Oxspring Neighbourhood Plan Consultation

### Q4 (3 of 3) On the Plan's 3 Ambitions, do you agree with the inclusion of 'Nurturing the Rural Environment'? (page 4)

Answered: 21 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Yes	90.48%	19
No	9.52%	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>21</b>

#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	In theory it is a pleasant idea, however in reality little to no permission has been sought with land owners and this section is just so is so weak. Its easy to get onto the trail, there is loads of wild flowers and trees already, and Bower Dell is great as it is. Money could be better spent on the TPT by planting more wild flowers, and addition more history boards at key places. We have enough ants as it is do please no more ant hills! Whose is going to compensate the farmers for giving up parts of their income providing fields?	2/14/2015 1:47 PM
2	Agree in principle but how will a new school building, and distruction of the existing school building enhance the "parish's built enviroment" (3) The TPT currently has numorous and adequate access points along it. Evident by its popularity of many groups ie. cyclists, wheelchair users, walkers, horses etc. Indeed by creating more access it may well encourage larger vehicles such as motorbikes, off roaders and quads! How do you propose to "protect the rural diversity" (3) while destroying it to create new pathways/access?	2/14/2015 6:19 AM
3	But I a not sure the measures and plans offered are the most optimal options. In fact I don't know what other options were even considered and how this proposal has been evaluated and shown to be the most optimal	2/12/2015 10:36 AM
4	Like my answer to question 2 - of course, I agree with the rural environment being included in the plan. However, the expression of this ambition is not always consistent with the ambition. Creating new links and paths may actually harm the environment and biodiversity. Nature likes mess - a manicured path along the Don may not be the way to safeguard the biodiversity of Oxspring.	1/29/2015 8:16 AM
5	The rural environment is sometimes best nourished by leaving areas undisturbed and closed to the public. Working with the local farmers and gamekeepers to recompense them with hedge trimming, leaving edges of fields uncultivated, The council cutting of verges etc are all aspects i fgeel should be part of the plan in addition to areas of access developement.	1/24/2015 8:08 AM

# Oxspring Neighbourhood Plan Consultation

## Q5 (1 of 2) Do you agree with the first Policy on Housing - CW/H1? (page 5)

Answered: 21 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	76.19%	16
No	23.81%	5
<b>Total</b>		<b>21</b>

#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	But again it would help if the phrases 'intermediate housing and windfall housing ' were more clearly defined in the plan	2/13/2015 9:35 AM
2	There is no evidence of a detailed consultation process or an evaluated options appraisal to transparently show how the proposed solution was derived and how it best serves the needs and interest of the village and it's current population profile. What needs assessment was undertaken to arrive at this solution?	2/12/2015 10:51 AM
3	Very important to make sure the affordable housing is of a decent standard in terms of room size, storage etc	2/4/2015 2:34 AM
4	Only because the term 'local' is vague - what does it mean! Migration into and out of the Parish is not only inevitable it is necessary and valuable.	1/29/2015 8:27 AM
5	I do agree, but worry that the concept of "local homes for local people" will be almost impossible to manage and police without being susceptible to non-democratic interference and judgement.	1/26/2015 3:39 AM
6	but there needs to be no more development of 4/5 bedroom houses	1/25/2015 5:29 AM
7	I am against large expensive housing projects - let Penistone have those. I am realistic enough to realise that Oxspring will have new housing built within the parish please ensure that any new housing is for local youngsters who need a step up.	1/25/2015 4:26 AM
8	Ideally this is fine. While ever Housing or land is subject to a free market people will get the best price for any house or land that they can. All the plan does is give someone a house cheap that they can then sell for what the market will give. So the idea is not sustainable after the first generation. House costs are an issue that Central Government needs to address but while it involves every home owners apparent wealth little can be done	1/24/2015 8:30 AM

**Q6 (2 of 2) Do you agree with the second Policy on Housing - CW/H2? (page 5)**

Answered: 21 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	90.48% 19
No	9.52% 2
<b>Total</b>	<b>21</b>

#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	Not possible to agree without understanding an agreeing with CW/H1	2/12/2015 10:51 AM
2	As Q5	1/25/2015 5:29 AM
3	This restricts development to brick or stone traditional build. There are many types of building that can see us into the future of construction methods and types to be developed. I think we must be open to these. The ability to buy up old out of date and non effecient housing to demolish and re deveope must be a priority. Look at the old Airy Houses in Mayfield Oxspring	1/24/2015 8:30 AM

## Oxspring Neighbourhood Plan Consultation

### Q7 Do you agree with the Policy on A New School at the Heart of the Community - CW/E1? (page 6)

Answered: 20 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	75.00% 15
No	25.00% 5
<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>


#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	The old school is at the centre of the village. A new one on the edge, attracting too many outside pupils that have to be commuted in, increasing the amount of traffic through the village, & could like Thurgoland School turn into a traffic hazard. also further for Oxspring pupils to walk & again encouraging even more traffic.	2/15/2015 11:26 AM
2	Why do we need a bigger school? Remove temporary buildings and make permanent structures across the penistone area. Oxspring School is a well maintained buiding and the cost implications would be negliable in comparison to building a new school.	2/14/2015 2:05 PM
3	See page one. Also, why does Oxspring parish need to provide additional school places for the wider Penistone area? Will this not then pave the way and encourage more housing development if school places increase?	2/14/2015 6:19 AM
4	HOWEVER, I would like the old school building to be preserved as I believe it is an essential part of the village's history and identity.	2/13/2015 2:10 PM
5	The proposal focusses on downsizing and moving away from the current new build profile of large family homes. From this one would derive that the new housing would NOT significantly increase intake at the school which currently has vacancies. We do need a better school but no options have been offered or evaluated around refurbishing or rebuilding on the current site. The School is being moved predominantly to make way for a development and not because all other options for improving it have been considered and discounted. Furthermore, no builder will fund the rebuilding of an entire school based on the very limited housing stock being offered as they will need to make a profit. The proposal naively assumes a school will somehow be funded and built without any assurances having been offered or any clarity provided on how this will be funded. Finally, as we are not short of spaces at school (15 places out of 20 filled this year in reception) it seems this is more a neighbourhood plan to serve the Penistone neighbourhood than Oxspring!	2/12/2015 10:51 AM
6	However, few primary schools have changing facilities! Try to avoid a PFI type funding as it screws the school for a longtime on the budget front.	2/4/2015 2:34 AM
7	Not no - but not sure	1/29/2015 8:27 AM

## Oxspring Neighbourhood Plan Consultation

8	Oxspring needs a new school. Get this part correct don't think short term think long term to save on future expenditure. NO NEW HOUSING UNTIL THE CORRECT INFRASTRUCTURE IS IN PLACE.	1/25/2015 4:26 AM
9	A local school is at the heart of a community. However this only becomes valid if there is a turnover of people in and out of the area thus creating new generations of schoolchildren. People who live in the area must be guaranteed a place in the school in preference to those from other parishes. The follow up to this is to the next level of school and the same people need preference on their choice of Secondary School. Again will central and local government policy override this.	1/24/2015 8:30 AM

## Q8 Do you agree with the Policy on Sport & Leisure Community Infrastructure - CW/SRCL 1? (page 6)

Answered: 21 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	80.95% 17
No	19.05% 4
<b>Total</b>	<b>21</b>


#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	Not if it means moving the school.	2/15/2015 11:26 AM
2	Not enough demand, could potentially be an economical white elephant. Unsustainable and could attract vandalism.	2/14/2015 2:05 PM
3	I agree that the current facilities for the playing field are inadequate, and need new changing rooms and toilet facilities. However i strongly disagree with suggestions to build an enormous sports and leisure facility to "attract visitors to the parish" (cw/srcl) Most residents of the parish have chosen to live in Oxspring because it is a quiet country village. Surely this ill thought out plan will increase noise, traffic and pollution : indeed it may change the nature and community and "feel" of the village forever!	2/14/2015 6:19 AM
4	Hunshelf PC is very willing to work positively with Oxspring PC about the use of Site A but would want to add that support for such a proposal affecting land within Hunshelf Parish would depend upon it being an appropriate development within the existing Green Belt.	2/13/2015 9:35 AM
5	But who will actually fund this? What offers or assurances have we had that there is any likelihood of this ever happening? Who will pay for it?	2/12/2015 10:51 AM
6	Need to be careful that safeguarding issues don't end up meaning he schools' facilities can't be used by others. Also likely to be a cost issue of a PFI route is taken.	2/4/2015 2:34 AM
7	Again (underlining the difficult nature of these surveys) I am not against this policy in spirit - however, there is a danger that by passing this policy we would be looking for the holy grail only to find a white elephant. Large scale investment in a sport and leisure community infrastructure looks good on a plan but would be fiendishly hard to deliver in terms of capital investment and almost certainly doomed to failure from a lack of revenue. Communities grow facilities and only by taking a truly community development approach will a sustainable community infrastructure be developed and made sustainable. On another point - the rural environment of Oxspring is, quietly and efficiently, the kind of environment that teaches children about risk and danger. Rope swings, building a raft on the river, sledging - I worry that creating a sport and leisure infrastructure will create an antiseptic environment for the children of tomorrow.	1/29/2015 8:27 AM

## Oxspring Neighbourhood Plan Consultation

8	I do feel that you have to question the size of the development? You only have to look at Don Valley and it decline in recent years. The requirements to maintain these question why more of what is in Penistone that currently struggles with both football and cricket teams	1/25/2015 5:29 AM
9	Yes this is important. However how much will the plan cost each resident of the parish and how much will the facilities be used by people from outside the parish and at what cost. So say the oxspring football team. How many who play for Oxspring live in the village, yet they all pay the same match fee. The trunce run. All pay the same fee but should residents pay a reduced fee or outsiders pay more.	1/24/2015 8:30 AM

**Q9 (1 of 2) Do you agree with the first Policy on Connectivity Infrastructure - NLB/C1? (page 7)**

Answered: 20 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	90.00% 18
No	10.00% 2
<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>

#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	Improvements to connectivity cannot just be reliant on developers as there is then no guarantee of delivery. The Sheffield City Region BDUK deal does not guarantee Oxspring recieved high speed connectivity. More direct intervention by the parish council is required to ensure we benefit from high speed connectivity. With out this the economic and local business plans will not be deliverable.	2/15/2015 6:17 AM
2	Stronly agree we need improved internet speed and connection, but surely this is already in the hands of local and national government and in no way influenced by any neighbourhood plan.	2/14/2015 6:19 AM
3	Again Hunshelf PC is very willing to work with Oxspring PC to support any improvements in IT connectivity infrastructure and broadband speeds affecting either or both parishes. Hunshelf PC would also wish that any transport connectivity changes ,such as possible bus route changes, do not adversely affect the residents of Hunshelf Parish	2/13/2015 9:35 AM
4	Broadband is the key to attracting business	1/26/2015 3:41 AM
5	This should attract moe home working and in turn if there was access to local services and not shop in Penistone will bring enrichment to the economy	1/25/2015 5:38 AM
6	Developers will just "not develop" if the returns and difficulty are too imposing. To make the developer pay puts the provision of cheap housing or business premises at risk.	1/24/2015 8:53 AM

### Q10 (2 of 2) Do you agree with the second Policy on Connectivity Infrastructure - NLB/C2? (page 7)

Answered: 20 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	80.00% 16
No	20.00% 4
<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>

#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	There is no inclusion of public transport connectivity in the document. This is key for the delivery of the well being plans as young and old are reliant on suitable provision of public transport. It is key that provision to Sheffield at a minimum is maintained to enable access to Northern General and central Sheffield for health and employment.	2/15/2015 6:17 AM
2	Car hubs are a long established idea. this policy offers nothing new. The remainder of thr policy is theoretical and without substance.	2/14/2015 2:09 PM
3	Provision of a Rail station in Oxspring would be a good development. Direct Buses to the adjacent Metropolitan centres at peak hours Buses trains forming a integrated network by good timetabling The ability of public transport to take bikes Better trail access points for bikes Using the road width to give Bike lanes rather than just nartrowing roads Safe footpath access along the road to Springvale A good corridor not on the main road to the new school A parking area at the new school for delivery collection of children	1/24/2015 8:53 AM

**Q11 (1 of 2) Do you agree with the first Policy on Growing the Rural Economy - NLB/RE1? (page 8)**

Answered: 20 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	70.00%	14
No	30.00%	6
<b>Total</b>		<b>20</b>

#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	The delivery of this requires improvements to NLB/C1	2/15/2015 6:17 AM
2	Growth may require HGV's to transport their goods this idea is naive	2/14/2015 2:09 PM
3	I think it is too woolly and lacks ay substance - just not convincing enough. The principle is good though	2/12/2015 10:55 AM
4	I do not think that we should turn our backs on any inward investment - but otherwise I cannot argue with this policy.	1/29/2015 8:36 AM
5	I am in agreement, but have concerns that the type of business suggested will not generate a "fair" proportion of less skilled jobs.	1/26/2015 3:41 AM
6	As per Q2 the investment in local professional business is not in keeping with the idea of keeping Oxspring rural and the idlic nature of a village It uses green belt land and seems to therefore contradict the values, of other parts of the plan in nuturing biodiversity The road infrastructure will become over used increasing traffic and there needs to be thought of the schools that boarder Sheffield Road ans safety concerns	1/25/2015 5:38 AM
7	Why not redevelop the old Conoco site into an industrial park.	1/25/2015 4:30 AM
8	Until the government sorts out how we pay farmers and treats the whole busines of farming as and farmers as both a national resource and as custodians of the rural environment conducted through a busines then no progress can really be made in this area	1/24/2015 8:53 AM

**Q12 (2 of 2) Do you agree with the second Policy on Growing the Rural Economy - NLB/RE2? (page 8)**

Answered: 20 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	75.00% 15
No	25.00% 5
<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>

#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	Not sure	2/15/2015 11:29 AM
2	Regarding the "provision of facilities for overnight stay" What will these facilities be? Certainly we have no need or place for a caravan park or field allocated for tents. Again this will only serve to increase noise, pollution (both vehicular and domestic) and traffic. A thoroughly ill thought out plan with no benefit to the village economy or rural enhancement.	2/14/2015 6:19 AM
3	This is just not realistic. Nice thought though	2/12/2015 10:55 AM
4	The problem with this is you are squeezing in a solution and making it mandatory. If this is deliberate this is not fair and if not it is poor practice. Developing a sustainable rural visitor economy DOES NOT require a new biodiversity Nature Trail. That approach may work but it isn't the only one and should not be stated in the policy - the statements are rife with assumptions and false deductions.	1/29/2015 8:36 AM
5	this needs to be at the centre of the plan	1/25/2015 5:38 AM
6	I see where you are going with this but eventually the short stay will mean traveller camps with all the attendant difficulties that will bring.	1/24/2015 8:53 AM

### Q13 Do you agree with the Policy on Developing Community Sustainable Energy – NLB/CSE? (page 9)

Answered: 20 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	80.00% 16
No	20.00% 4
<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>

#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	Again, no substance to this statement to determine what exactly green energy they refer to. So unable to determine agreement or not.	2/14/2015 6:19 AM
2	As set out in it's response to the draft Barnsley Local plan Hunshelf PC would wish for the setting of a sizeable minimum distance from the base of any proposed wind turbine to the nearest dwelling (excluding the property of the developer of the windfarm).	2/13/2015 9:35 AM
3	I think to make this argument stick it needs a lot more scientific, economic and engineering reality injecting into it.	2/12/2015 10:55 AM
4	not if this means wind turbines in centre of village, but having said that I understand that technology will advance in the next 20 years or so.	2/11/2015 7:45 AM
5	BUT NO WIND FARMS.	1/27/2015 2:05 AM
6	I'm in favour of additional windmills if any profits generated are ploughed into the village. Also switch off street lamps between 12 and 5am - most people are in bed.	1/25/2015 4:30 AM
7	Who deems what is suitable. Is a waste incineration suitable. Is a nuclear power plant suitable Is a generating plant using locally grown wood suitable Is a wind farm suitable Are acres of land on the south facing hillside set to solar power suitable. Hydro electric using the river and the resultant dam that would need. The plan is so vague that it is meaningless	1/24/2015 8:53 AM
8	This could be difficult to fund and not everyone is convinced about climate change	1/22/2015 1:09 AM

### Q14 Do you agree with the Policy on Protecting and Enhancing Natural Biodiversity Assets - NRE/PE? (Page 10)

Answered: 21 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	90.48% 19
No	9.52% 2
<b>Total</b>	<b>21</b>

#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	But how will ths be funded?????	2/14/2015 2:15 PM
2	This is a large family community and there needs to be risk assessment done re the kind of insects that would be attracted to these meadows so we do not actually restrict our access instead of enhancing our experience of the surrounding countryside	2/12/2015 11:02 AM
3	If you want nature to generate then stay out of it and let areas go to wild and barr people from access.	1/24/2015 9:06 AM

## Oxspring Neighbourhood Plan Consultation

### Q15 Do you agree with the Policy on Creating New Links and Connections to the TPT etc. - NRE/LC? (Page 10)

Answered: 21 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	71.43% 15
No	28.57% 6
<b>Total</b>	<b>21</b>

#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	This is too broad and therefore is not a yes and no question.	2/14/2015 2:15 PM
2	See previous statement. item no 4	2/14/2015 6:20 AM
3	I think this is a good idea just not the most high impact or pressing thing needed in Oxspring frankly!	2/12/2015 11:02 AM
4	Particularly a good idea to get a path on BOTH sides of the Don, we used to play along the whole length of the river using both sides from Willow bridge to the bottom of Thurgoland Bank when I was young.	2/4/2015 2:37 AM
5	I do not agree with the development of a river side walk.	1/29/2015 8:40 AM
6	Broadly in agreement, but am concerned that development along the Trans Pennine Trail should not undermine its present character as a legacy of the the railway line.	1/26/2015 3:43 AM
7	This is Oxsprings biggest seller to attract investment in local shops and leisure facilities enhancing the tourism experience	1/25/2015 5:40 AM
8	Can something be done towards cyclist/bikers who seem to think that the TPT is for them only and proceed to nearly knocking people over whilst speeding as a result we don't go on it at the weekend.	1/25/2015 4:33 AM

**Q16 Do you agree with the Policy on Preparing for Climate Change - NRE/CC? (page 11)**

Answered: 21 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	90.48% 19
No	9.52% 2
<b>Total</b>	<b>21</b>

#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	provision of improved IT connectivity and public transport provision is required to ensure delivery of this policy	2/15/2015 6:19 AM
2	I don't think the scale of the proposed developments will achieve this commitment from builders and I see nothing in the proposal to assure me that a mechanism to hold a builder to these requirements has been identified or even thought through	2/12/2015 11:02 AM
3	You need to balance the costs this imposes vs the return abny developer will need. Sometimes the whole community will need to pay a portion or the whole to realise the final plan	1/24/2015 9:06 AM
4	See reply to Q13	1/22/2015 1:09 AM

**Q17 Do you agree with the Policy on Protecting the Rural Heritage – NRE/RH? (page 11)**

Answered: 20 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	95.00% 19
No	5.00% 1
<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>

#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	As this is hypercritical statement, as you wish to maintain heritage but will happily bulldoze the school one of very few building with any degree of character in the village	2/14/2015 2:15 PM
2	Strongly agree with protection of our heritage. So how does this plan sit with previous suggestion to destroy a beautifull 134 year old school building. Is this not destroying our heritage? Our heritage should be preserved and cared for, as it is in many other european cities, to be enjoyed by future generations. It states (nre/rh) "developments may be restricted if they adversely affect character or appearance of rural heritage areas" A new school biilding and sports area on the excisiting playing field will no doubt affect character and appearence or Oxspring!	2/14/2015 6:20 AM
3	So why are we so quick to demolish the School building - contradictory?	2/12/2015 11:02 AM
4	While history is important I don't think it can take priority over progress. So for example the post office and cottages nearby have p[robably outlived their design life and are due for renovation. perhaps the frontage should be preseved but new behind. There are countless examples of this	1/24/2015 9:06 AM

**Q18 Do you agree with the ideas & concepts proposed for Site A - A New Primary School at the Heart of the Community's Sports and Recreation Hub?  
(page 12)**

Answered: 21 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	71.43% 15
No	28.57% 6
<b>Total</b>	<b>21</b>

#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	No do not want to lose the old school from the centre of village	2/15/2015 11:34 AM
2	Previously outlined	2/14/2015 2:17 PM
3	See previous notes items 2, 4, 7 and 17	2/14/2015 6:21 AM
4	Yes in the expectation that there will be discussion with Hunshelf PC about any elements of the scheme that are within Hunshelf parish.	2/13/2015 9:35 AM
5	Please refer to previous answers - the case has not been made, funding streams not thought through, and the need for a new development not clearly established	2/12/2015 11:06 AM
6	Do we want a new school - of course. Do we want a new fabulous community hub with modcon - yes of course. It's unlikely to happen and I'm not convinced that it is sustainable. Where is the evidence that there is need for an Oxspring cricket club, bowls, athletics, rugby, cycle hub, famers market.	1/29/2015 8:48 AM
7	I would question the proposed farmers market - we are not a market town Penistone struggle to sustain theirs and they are famous for this We need to encourage our local produce to sell in Penistone and then within local shops with Oxspring	1/25/2015 5:43 AM
8	Long over due	1/25/2015 4:34 AM
9	How are you going to prevent the school and field flooding at regular intervals.	1/24/2015 9:16 AM

## Oxspring Neighbourhood Plan Consultation

### Q19 Do you agree with the ideas & concepts proposed for Site B – Oxspring's Riverside Biodiversity Nature Trail? (page 13)

Answered: 21 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	66.67%	14
No	33.33%	7
<b>Total</b>		<b>21</b>

#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	No affermation of funding	2/14/2015 2:17 PM
2	Current access to the TPT in Oxspring are more than adequate and indeed in line with access available to other parishes on the TPT. As is evident by its popularity on any day of the year. Wider or more structured access may encourage larger unwanted use such as motorcycles, off road bikes and quads. There are currently on line ( which i found easily and am sure there are more) 7 "Oxspring Planned Walks" with full descriptions, directions and comentary of sites of interest you can visit. They range from 1.75miles to 5.5 miles around oxspring. One of them penned by previous oxspring Parish Council member Chris Booth. I therefore do not agree that we need any more "developed" pathways or trails in the village.	2/14/2015 6:21 AM
3	This is frankly not the biggest priority for our Village	2/12/2015 11:06 AM
4	But not too smart! It's countryside not a park	2/4/2015 2:38 AM
5	The riverside area is unkempt, wild and an haven for wildlife and adventurous children. There's plenty of great footpaths around - the manufacture of a 'safe' footpath along the river is unlikely to benefit the wildlife and will change the nature of the village - I much prefer leaving some gems for the wild at heart to find.	1/29/2015 8:48 AM
6	I am concerned that now planning permission has been granted to develop the "paddock" this will need to be re-examined Your sketch plan and descriptions are not clear how this can have access links with the TPT It implies the link will go up the banking of Wood View which is too steep and too unstable for work to be undertaken at those points suggested which are different from that shown on the plan and that shown superimposed of the aerial view Without those clarifications I cannot support this	1/26/2015 9:58 AM
7	I don't believe that access will meet this objective.	1/24/2015 9:16 AM

## Oxspring Neighbourhood Plan Consultation

### Q20 Do you agree with the ideas & concepts proposed for Site C – Accessible Homes for Oxspring? (page 14)

Answered: 21 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Yes	71.43%	15
No	28.57%	6
<b>Total</b>		<b>21</b>

#	If you do not agree, why not?	Date
1	Do not want to lose old school to housing	2/15/2015 11:34 AM
2	Totally inappropriate site with inadequate access and demolishes a ready made building central to the village with masses of potential.	2/14/2015 2:17 PM
3	I agree we need more affordable homes for the young people of oxspring who may wish to stay in the village but currently are not able to afford the house prices. I do believe this could be achieved by building these houses in one large area near the current "new build" on Roughbirchworth lane. This area currently designated as Safeguarded land by BMBC. This would minimise the impact of new build in the village, keeping it together and not infringing on the older housing.	2/14/2015 6:21 AM
4	The case has not been made re how the needs have been assessed, what options considered and what evaluation criteria used to select this as the preferred option. No consultation made with residents to arrive at is proposal over and above other options	2/12/2015 11:06 AM
5	although note my early point on the definiton of 'local'	1/29/2015 8:48 AM
6	small is only good for so long. people will have families but not everyone will have the facility to move up the housing ladder to bigger properties and will become stuck as at present then often commuting large distances to work and returning to an overcrowded house. Where do I keep the bike / bikes. Where can mum and dad park their car when they visit.	1/24/2015 9:16 AM

## Oxspring Neighbourhood Plan Consultation

### Q21 Please add any other thoughts or comments you might have below, whether they are on Oxspring in general or the Neighbourhood Plan specifically. Let us know what you think!

Answered: 8 Skipped: 13

#	Responses	Date
1	A substantial amount of work has been put in to this, but it fails to look at what Oxspring should be and merely looks like a defence strategy to stop already proposed building in Oxspring???	2/14/2015 2:22 PM
2	It is important that we have a neighbourhood plan. Using the school as leverage when the nature of housing being proposed does not warrant more school spaces is incongruous and could place us in danger of losing the school and having no replacement built	2/12/2015 11:09 AM
3	I think it is a good plan, I have concerns over how a new school would be funded because of the possible impact on the school's budget. Also I've seen a lot of shoddy work done under the PFI deals for Advanced Learning Centres.	2/4/2015 2:41 AM
4	I think that working with the constraints you have - within Barnsley Development Plan, the economy and working with landowners and developers that the Sports Hub/ School/Viable Energy Efficient Housing solution is imaginative and pragmatic. I am not an Oxspring resident, but have lived there twice, had strong family ties there, served on the Parish Council and community bodies including the Oxspring Sports and Community Centre Charitable Trust, and continue as a Co-opted Trustee of that body. It is in those capacities that I add my support to this proposal and hope it will be adopted.	1/26/2015 10:05 AM
5	I have had difficulty with this form for two reasons. First, although I agree broadly with all the points, I have in one or two places had to put "no" as I wanted to comment further. Second, I filled the form out in hard copy first, then found the online version was numbered differently. It didn't make it easier for me, and I'm sure will not make it easier for anyone doing the data entry from the forms.	1/26/2015 3:50 AM
6	Overall we need to keep Oxpring rural and build on what we have and make it attractive for commuters to live and enjoy leisure time Investing in good local shops, cafe building on the TPT to bring in tourist we are a village a rural community no need to bring in more larger business our next generation as we are move to enjoy a quite idilic life style in the country in a local community Not a town feeling	1/25/2015 5:48 AM
7	Its good to have a long term plan and I wish that this local plan was underpinned by some long term plans set by central and local government. However since these bodies are forever changing the goal posts and you only have to look at education ( oxspring school would have been demolished years ago ) as an example of how things change to realise that the plan cannot hold true. Most things are statements of intent rather than practical ideas as to how the principles ( all of which are very worthy ) are to be put in place and acted upon. Where does the money for all this come from. I don't know how much improvements to the school have cost but its all just a waste if we demolish it now. If there truly is no money and we should not borrow to the detriment of our grandchildren then how do you justify this. You can only build on borrowed money or use the discedited method of lease back from a developer Much better to have an overall plan that works using what limited money is available. The Parish needs to save money and then build, but of course like every other public body it cannot save and must spend its income within the financial year for which it has the income. A ridiculous state of affairs. I bet you never even read all this or come back to me for further comment.	1/24/2015 9:30 AM
8	I can see a lot of hard work has gone into this. I hope people get behind it.	1/22/2015 1:10 AM